#### Improving Interrupt Response Time in a Verifiable Protected Microkernel Blackham, Bernard and Shi, Yao and Heiser, Gernot EuroSys '12

#### James Marshall, GW-SSL Fall 2013

#### Resources

Blackham, Bernard, Yao Shi, and Gernot Heiser. "Improving interrupt response time in a verifiable protected microkernel." *Proceedings of the 7th ACM european conference on Computer Systems*. ACM, 2012.

Elphinstone, Kevin, and Gernot Heiser. "From L3 to seL4 What Have We Learnt in 20 Years of L4 Microkernels?."

Mehnert, Frank, Michael Hohmuth, and Hermann Hartig. "Cost and benefit of separate address spaces in real-time operating systems." *Real-Time Systems Symposium, 2002. RTSS 2002. 23rd IEEE*. IEEE, 2002.

Blackham, Bernard, et al. "Timing analysis of a protected operating system kernel." *Real-Time Systems Symposium (RTSS), 2011 IEEE 32nd*. IEEE, 2011.

Blackham, Bernard, Vernon Tang, and Gernot Heiser. "To preempt or not to preempt, that is the question." *Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Workshop on Systems*. ACM, 2012.

Klein, Gerwin, et al. "seL4: Formal verification of an OS kernel." *Proceedings of the ACM SIGOPS 22nd symposium on Operating systems principles*. ACM, 2009.

### Domain

Hard Real-Time Worst Case Execution Time Growing more complex Mixed-criticality systems



#### **Current Real-Time OSes**

Focus on lowest Linux Linux Linux Linux possible WCET app app app app User Kernel Small, simple RT kernels Linux RT executive Mixed-criticality dealt

with like RTLinux

Figure 1. RTLinux structure

## History

80's - L3 by Jochen Liedtke 90's - L4: fast IPC, microkernels work Many variants 00's - commercial success

#### seL4

# Redesigned L4 to be verified WCET analysis

\* Improvements for WCET of interrupts

## Verification (How)



#### Figure 2: The refinement layers in the verification of seL4

## Verification (What)

Functional Correctness: The implementation the abstract specification of the kernel. Implications:

No buffer overflows

Well-formed data structures

No non-termination

many more...

Assumptions: C-compiler, assembly, hardware, and kernel initialization.

#### Drawback

Concurrency is the Verification Killer

- Non-Preemptive kernel
- Event based kernel
- Verification is very expensive
- Changes are hard to make

### First WCET

#### Table III COMPUTED WCET VERSUS OBSERVED WCET FOR FEASIBLE WORST-CASE PATHS IN SEL4.

Event handler	Computed	Observed	Ratio
Syscall (open)	1634.8 μs	305.2 μs	5.36
Syscall (closed)	387.4 μs	46.4 μs	8.21
Unknown syscall	173.3 µs	17.9 μs	9.68
Undefined instruction	173.4 µs	17.1 μs	10.15
Page fault	175.5 µs	18.9 µs	9.27
Interrupt	$104.7 \mu s$	$13.1 \mu s$	8.01

Microseconds; too slow approx. 800 Mhz system

## Lazy Scheduling

Optimization for better average case execution WCET is king now.

## Open vs. Closed systems

What are the slow system calls?Kernel object creation and deletionExample: deleting an IPC port.How do we speed them up?Original solution: Don't do it.

## Data Structure Manipulation

Allow preemption points Progress must be made between points

## **Preemption Point**

Kernel checkpoints progress Checks for interrupts Resumes system call

### WCET

#### Difficult to compute Observations can not be trusted

## **WCET Problems**

- Cache policies
- Loops
- **Execution** paths

## **2nd WCET Results**

Event handler	Before changes; L2 disabled	After changes; L2 disabled		
	Computed	Computed	Observed	Ratio
System call	3851 µs	332.4 μs	101.9 μs	3.26
Undefined instruction	394.5 μs	$44.4\mu\mathrm{s}$	$42.6\mu s$	1.04
Page fault	396.1 µs	44.9 $\mu$ s	$42.9 \mu s$	1.05
Interrupt	143.1 $\mu$ s	$23.2\mu s$	$17.7 \mu s$	1.31

Approx. 500 Mhz system With better WCET analysis: ~300 microsecond <u>computed</u> WCET Much closer to observed

## Significance

Verified micro-kernel Supports address spaces Protected-mode kernel

Still manages sub-millisecond worst case interrupt execution time

## **Future Work**

Re-verification A few more optimizations IPC send-receive capability policy

# That's all folks!

### L4RTL

Shows that RT Tasks can be run in user space along side of normal Linux applications (provides separate address spaces). The performance hit is there, but not that bad.

RTLinux keeps the RT Tasks in the kernel space.

## **Stack Blocking**

seL4 is even-based

means single stack (unlike thread based. a stack for every process, easy to preempt, just swap stacks).

Can not preempt, because the stack is shared. Stack blocking occurs if a process<sup>1</sup> holds an exclusive object, and process<sup>2</sup> preempts it. Process<sup>2</sup> takes the top of the stack, effectively blocking process<sup>1</sup> from running.

There are solutions, but this would force a policy on seL4.