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## Transverse Links in the Standard Contact $S^{3}$

A contact structure $\xi$ on an oriented 3-manifold $M$ is an oriented tangent plane distribution such that there is a 1-form $\alpha$ on $M$ satisfying $\xi=\operatorname{ker} \alpha,\left.d \alpha\right|_{\xi}>0$ and $\alpha \wedge d \alpha>0$. Such a 1 -form is called a contact form for $\xi$.
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## Theorem (Bennequin)

Every transverse link in the standard contact $S^{3}$ is transverse isotopic to a counterclockwise transverse closed braid around the $z$-axis.

Clearly, any smooth counterclockwise closed braid around the $z$-axis can be smoothly isotoped into a transverse closed braid around the $z$-axis without changing the braid word.

## The Transverse Markov Theorem

Transverse Markov moves:

- Braid group relations generated by
- $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i}^{-1}=\sigma_{i}^{-1} \sigma_{i}=\emptyset$,
- $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}$, when $|i-j|>1$,
- $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}$.
- Conjugations: $\mu \leadsto \eta^{-1} \mu \eta$.
- Positive stabilizations and destabilizations:

$$
\mu\left(\in \mathbf{B}_{m}\right) \nLeftarrow \mu \sigma_{m}\left(\in \mathbf{B}_{m+1}\right) .
$$
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- $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}$, when $|i-j|>1$,
- $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}$.
- Conjugations: $\mu \leadsto \eta^{-1} \mu \eta$.
- Positive stabilizations and destabilizations:
$\mu\left(\in \mathbf{B}_{m}\right) \longleftrightarrow \mu \sigma_{m}\left(\in \mathbf{B}_{m+1}\right)$.
Theorem (Orevkov, Shevchishin and Wrinkle)
Two transverse closed braids are transverse isotopic if and only if the two braid words are related by a finite sequence of transverse Markov moves.

So there is a one-to-one correspondence between transverse isotopy classes of transverse links and closed braids modulo transverse Markov moves.
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If a smooth link type contains two transverse links that are isotopic as framed links but not as transverse links, then we call this smooth link type "transverse non-simple".

An invariant for transverse links is called classical if it depends only on the framed link type of the transverse link. Otherwise, it is called non-classical or effective.

## The Khovanov-Rozansky Homology

Khovanov and Rozansky introduced an approach to construct link homologies using matrix factorizations by:

1. Choose a base ring $R$ and a potential polynomial $p(x) \in R[x]$.
2. Define matrix factorizations associated to MOY graphs using this potential $p(x)$.
3. Define chain complexes of matrix factorizations associated to link diagrams using the crossing information.
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This approach has been carried out for the following potential polynomials:

- $x^{N+1} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ (the $\mathfrak{s l}(N)$ Khovanov-Rozansky homology);
- $a x \in \mathbb{Q}[a, x]$ (the HOMFLYPT homology);
- $x^{N+1}+\sum_{l=1}^{N} \lambda_{l} x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ (deformed $\mathfrak{s l}(N)$

Khovanov-Rozansky homology);

- $x^{N+1}+\sum_{l=1}^{N} a_{l} x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}, x\right]$ (the equivariant $\mathfrak{s l}(N)$ Khovanov-Rozansky homology).
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Therefore, by the Transverse Markov Theorem, $\mathcal{H}_{N}$ is an invariant for transverse links in the standard contact $S^{3}$.

Question
Is $\mathcal{H}_{N}$ an effective invariant for transverse links?

## Decategorification

$$
\mathcal{P}_{N}(B):=\sum_{(\varepsilon, i, j, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus^{3}}(-1)^{i} \tau^{\varepsilon} \alpha^{j} \xi^{k} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{H}_{N}^{\varepsilon, i, j, k}(B) \in \mathbb{Z}[[\alpha, \xi]]\left[\alpha^{-1}, \xi^{-1}, \tau\right] /\left(\tau^{2}-1\right)
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4. Parts 1-3 above uniquely determine the value of $\mathcal{P}_{N}$ on every closed braid.
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It is not clear if $\mathcal{P}_{N}$ is effective. But $\mathcal{P}_{N}$ does not detect flype moves. $\left(\mu \sigma_{m}^{k} \nu \sigma_{m}^{ \pm 1} \rightsquigarrow \mu \sigma_{m}^{ \pm 1} \nu \sigma_{m}^{k}\right.$, where $\left.\mu, \nu \in \mathbf{B}_{m}.\right)$

## Module Structure

Theorem (W)
Let $H_{N}(B)$ be the $\mathfrak{s l}(N)$ Khovanov-Rozansky homology of a closed braid $B$, and $(\varepsilon, i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$.
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## Module Structure

## Theorem (W)

Let $H_{N}(B)$ be the $\mathfrak{s l}(N)$ Khovanov-Rozansky homology of a closed braid $B$, and $(\varepsilon, i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$.

1. $H_{N}^{\varepsilon, i, k}(B) \cong \mathcal{H}_{N}^{\varepsilon, i, \star, k}(B) /(a-1) \mathcal{H}_{N}^{\varepsilon, i, \star, k}(B)$.
2. As a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$-module,

$$
\left.\mathcal{H}_{N}^{\varepsilon, i, k, k}(B) \cong\left(\mathbb{Q}[a]\{s l(B)\}_{a}\right)^{\oplus \prime} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Q}[a]\{s l(B)+2\}_{a}\right)^{\oplus\left(\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{N}^{\varepsilon}, i, k\right.}(B)-l\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{q=1}^{n} \mathbb{Q}[a] /(a)\left\{s_{q}\right\}\right),
$$

where

- $\{s\}_{a}$ means shifting the a-grading by $s$,
- I and $n$ are finite non-negative integers determined by $B$ and the triple $(\varepsilon, i, k)$,
- $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is a sequence determined up to permutation by $B$ and the triple $(\varepsilon, i, k)$,
- $s l(B) \leq s_{q} \leq c_{+}-c_{-}-1$ and $(N-1) s_{q} \leq k-2 N+2 c_{-}$for $1 \leq q \leq n$, where $c_{ \pm}$is the number of $\pm$crossings in $B$.


## Negative Stabilization

Theorem (W)
Let $L$ be a transverse closed braid, and $L_{-}$a transverse closed braid obtained from $L$ by a single negative stabilization. Then the chain complex $\mathcal{C}_{N}\left(L_{-}\right)\{2,0\}$ is isomorphic to the mapping cone of the standard quotient map $\pi_{0}: \mathcal{C}_{N}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{N}(L) / a \mathcal{C}_{N}(L)$.

## Negative Stabilization

## Theorem (W)

Let $L$ be a transverse closed braid, and $L_{-}$a transverse closed braid obtained from $L$ by a single negative stabilization. Then the chain complex $\mathcal{C}_{N}\left(L_{-}\right)\{2,0\}$ is isomorphic to the mapping cone of the standard quotient map $\pi_{0}: \mathcal{C}_{N}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{N}(L) / a \mathcal{C}_{N}(L)$.

Thus, if $\mathscr{H}_{N}(L)$ is the homology of $\mathcal{C}_{N}(L) / a \mathcal{C}_{N}(L)$, there is a long exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{N}^{\varepsilon, i-1}(L)\{-2,0\} \xrightarrow{\pi_{0}} \mathscr{H}_{N}^{\varepsilon, i-1}(L)\{-2,0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{N}^{\varepsilon, i}\left(L_{-}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{N}^{\varepsilon, i}(L)\{-2,0\} \xrightarrow{\pi_{0}} \cdots
$$

## Negative Stabilization (cont'd)

Theorem (W)
Let $B$ be a closed braid and $B_{-}$a stabilization of $B$. Set $s=s /(B)$. Then for any $(i, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$, there are a long exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$-modules

and a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{Q}[a]$-modules

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{N}^{s, i, k}(B) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q}[a]\{s\}_{a} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{N}^{s, i, \star, k}\left(B_{-}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{N}^{s-1, i-1, \star, k+N+1}(B)\{-1\}_{a} \rightarrow 0
$$
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- Bennequin's inequality implies that the highest self linking number of a transverse unknot is -1 , which is attained by the 1-strand transverse closed braid.
- Eliashberg and Fraser showed that two transverse unknots are transverse isotopic if and only if their self linking numbers are equal.
- Denote by $U_{0}$ the transverse unknot with self linking -1 and by $U_{m}$ the transverse unknot obtained from $U_{0}$ by $m$ negative stabilizations.
- Then every transverse unknot is transverse isotopic to $U_{m}$ for some $m \geq 0$.


## Transverse Unknots (cont'd)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F} & :=\bigoplus_{l=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{Q}[a]\langle 1\rangle\{-1,-N+1+2 /\} \\
\mathcal{T} & :=\bigoplus_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}[a] /(a)\langle 1\rangle\{-1, N+1+2 /\},
\end{aligned}
$$
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and, for $m \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{N}\left(U_{m}\right) \cong & \mathcal{F}\{-2(m-1), 0\} \oplus \mathcal{T}\langle m\rangle\{-m,-m(N+1)\}\|m\| \\
& \oplus \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m-1} \mathcal{F} / a \mathcal{F}\langle I\rangle\{-2 m+I,-I(N+1)\}\|I+1\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where " $\|/\|$ " means shifting the homological grading by $\rfloor$.

