

Effectively and Relatively Effectively Categorical Structures

Valentina S. Harizanov

George Washington University

Washington, DC

harizanv@gwu.edu

<http://home.gwu.edu/~harizanv/>

Let A be a *computable* structure.

- A is *computably categorical* (*computably stable*) if for all computable $B \cong A$, at least one (every) isomorphism from A onto B is computable.

Let α be a computable ordinal.

- A is Δ_α^0 *categorical* if for all computable $B \cong A$, there is a Δ_α^0 isomorphism from A onto B .
- A is *relatively* Δ_α^0 *categorical* if for all $B \cong A$, there is an isomorphism from A onto B , which is Δ_α^0 relative to the atomic diagram of B .

- $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ is relatively computably categorical.
It is not computably stable.
- $(\omega, <)$ is not computably categorical.
It is relatively Δ_2^0 categorical.
- (R. Miller)
No computable tree (T, \prec) of infinite height is computably categorical.
- (Lempp-McCoy-R. Miller-Solomon)
For every $n \geq 1$, there is a computable tree of finite height,
which is Δ_{n+1}^0 categorical but not Δ_n^0 categorical.

- (Goncharov-Dzgoev, Remmel)

A computable linear order is computably categorical if and only if it has only finitely many successors.

- (LaRoche, Goncharov-Dzgoev, Remmel)

A computable Boolean algebra is computably categorical if and only if it has finitely many atoms.

- Every computably categorical computable linear order is relatively computably categorical.

- Every computably categorical computable Boolean algebra is relatively computably categorical.

- (Lempp-McCoy-R. Miller-Solomon)
Every computably categorical computable tree of finite height is relatively computably categorical.
- Abelian p -group G
 $g \in (G - \{0\}) \Rightarrow (\exists n \geq 1)[order(g) = p^n]$
- (Goncharov, Smith)
An abelian p -group is computably categorical iff it can be written in one of the following forms:
 - (1) $(Z(p^\infty))^l \oplus G$ for $l \in \omega \cup \{\infty\}$ and G finite, or
 - (2) $(Z(p^\infty))^n \oplus G \oplus (Z_{p^k})^\infty$, where $n, k \in \omega$ and G is finite.
- Every computably categorical computable abelian p -group is relatively computably categorical.

- (Calvert-Cenzer-Harizanov-Morozov)
Every computable computably categorical equivalence structure is relatively computably categorical. These are exactly structures with:
(1) finitely many finite equivalence classes, and
(2) finitely many infinite classes, bounded character, at most one finite k with infinitely many equivalence classes of size k .
- (McCoy)
Many Δ_2^0 categorical computable linear orders and Boolean algebras are relatively Δ_2^0 categorical.
- (Calvert-Cenzer-Harizanov-Morozov)
Many Δ_2^0 categorical computable equivalence structures are relatively Δ_2^0 categorical.

- There are syntactical conditions that imply Δ_α^0 categoricity:
The existence of simple Scott families.
- A *Scott family for a countable structure A* is a set Φ of formulae, with a fixed finite tuple of parameters \bar{c} in A , such that:
 - (1) Each tuple in A satisfies some $\psi \in \Phi$,
 - (2) If \bar{a}, \bar{b} are tuples in A satisfying the *same* formula $\psi \in \Phi$, then there is an automorphism of A taking \bar{a} to \bar{b} .
- A *formally c.e. Scott family* is a c.e. Scott family consisting in finitary existential formulae.
A *formally Σ_α^0 Scott family* is a Σ_α^0 Scott family consisting in computable Σ_α formulae.

- (Ash-Knight-Manasse-Slaman, Chisholm)
 - A computable structure A is *relatively* Δ_α^0 categorical *iff*
 - A has a formally Σ_α^0 Scott family *iff*
 - A has a c.e. Scott family consisting in computable Σ_α formulae.

- (Goncharov)
 - (i) Assume that A is 2-decidable. If A is computably categorical, then it is relatively computably categorical.

 - (ii) There is a computably categorical rigid structure that is *not relatively* computably categorical.

- (Ash)
 - Let $\alpha > 1$ be a computable ordinal.
 - Under some additional decidability on A , if A is Δ_α^0 categorical, then it is relatively Δ_α^0 categorical.

- (Kudinov)

There is a 1-decidable structure that is computably categorical, but *not relatively* computably categorical.

- (Cholak-Goncharov-Khoussainov-Shore)

There is a computably categorical structure A such that for every $a \in A$, the expanded structure (A, a) is not computably categorical.

- (Cholak-Shore-Solomon)

There is a computably categorical rigid structure A with no Scott family of finitary formulae.

- (Goncharov-Harizanov-Knight-McCoy-R. Miller-Solomon)

Let $\alpha \geq 2$ be a computable successor ordinal.

There is a computable structure that is Δ_α^0 categorical but *not relatively* Δ_α^0 categorical.

- *Proof sketch.* (1) Relativize the proof for Δ_1^0 to Δ_α^0 .

There is a rigid Δ_α^0 directed graph G such that:

- (i) G has exactly one Δ_α^0 isomorphic copy, up to Δ_α^0 isomorphism,
- (ii) G does not have Σ_α^0 Scott family of finitary existential formulae.

- *Proof sketch continued.* (2) Code Δ_α^0 directed graph G in a *computable* structure G^* , using a pair of structures B_0, B_1 such that B_0 codes $G \models a \rightarrow b$ and B_1 codes $G \models \neg(a \rightarrow b)$.
- B_0 and B_1 are *computable* structures, for which the standard *back-and-forth relations* \leq_β for $\beta < \alpha$ are uniformly c.e.
- B_0 and B_1 satisfy the *same* infinitary Π_β sentences for $\beta < \alpha$.
- B_0 satisfies *some* computable Π_α sentence that is not true in B_1 , and *vice versa*.
- B_0 and B_1 are uniformly relatively Δ_α^0 categorical.

- (3) Show that:
 - (i) G^* is Δ_α^0 categorical
(G had exactly one Δ_α^0 isomorphic copy, up to Δ_α^0 isomorphism);
 - (ii) G^* does not have formally Σ_α^0 Scott family
(G did not have Σ_α^0 Scott family of finitary existential formulae).

Open Questions

- For a computable limit ordinal α , is there a computable structure that is Δ_α^0 categorical but not relatively Δ_α^0 categorical?
- Is every Δ_1^1 categorical structure relatively Δ_1^1 categorical?