# Finite speed of propagation in porous media by mass transportation methods \*

José Antonio Carrillo <sup>a</sup>, Maria Pia Gualdani <sup>b</sup>, Giuseppe Toscani <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Departament de Matemàtiques - ICREA, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain

<sup>b</sup>Fachbereich Mathematik, Universität Mainz, Staudingerweg 9, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

<sup>c</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica, Universitá di Pavia, via Ferrata 1, I-27100 Pavia, Italy

# Abstract

In this note we make use of mass transportation techniques to give a simple proof of the finite speed of propagation of the solution to the one-dimensional porous medium equation. The result follows by showing that the difference of support of any two solutions corresponding to different compactly supported initial data is a bounded in time function of a suitable Monge-Kantorovich related metric. To cite this article: J. A. Carrillo, M. P. Gualdani, G. Toscani, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 336 (2003).

## Résumé

Dans cette note nous utilisons des techniques de transport de masse pour donner une preuve élémentaire de la finitude de la vitesse de propagation des solutions de l'équation mono-dimensionnelle des milieux poreux. Le résultat repose sur la preuve de la propriété suivante : la différence du support entre deux solutions quelconques correspondant à des données initiales à support compact différentes est une fonction, bornée en temps, d'une métrique de Monge-Kantorovitch appropriée. Pour citer cet article: J. A. Carrillo, M. P Gualdani, G. Toscani, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 336 (2003).

### Introduction 1

We consider the problem

$$u_t = (u^m)_{xx}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \quad m > 1,$$
  
 $u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$  (2)

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\tag{2}$$

where  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $u_0 \geq 0$  and  $u_0$  is compactly supported.

Much is already known for problem (1)-(2): see [1,2,3,4,5] and the references therein for existence, uniqueness and asymptotic behaviour results of the porous media equation. It also known that the degeneracy at level u=0of the diffusivity  $D(u) = mu^{m-1}$  causes the phenomenon called *finite speed of* propagation. This means that the support of the solution  $u(\cdot,t)$  to (1)-(2) is a bounded set for all  $t \geq 0$ . In fact it can be proved that the solution u(x,t) as

Email addresses: carrillo@mat.uab.es (José Antonio Carrillo),

gualdani@mathematik.uni-mainz.de (Maria Pia Gualdani),

toscani@dimat.unipv.it (Giuseppe Toscani).

<sup>\*</sup> Work partially supported by EEC network #HPRN-CT-2002-00282, by the bilateral project Azioni integrate Italia-Spagna, by the Vigoni Project CRUI-DAAD and by the Spanish DGI-MCYT/FEDER project BFM2002-01710.

 $t \to +\infty$  converges to the Barenblatt source-type solution U(x,t,C) with the same mass as the initial data.

In this paper we want to give a simple proof of the *finite propagation* property using mass transportation techniques. Precisely, we prove that the difference of support of two solutions of (1)-(2) with different compactly supported initial conditions is a bounded in time function of a suitable Monge-Kantorovich related metric.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $u_1(x,t)$  and  $u_2(x,t)$  be strong solutions of (1)-(2) with initial conditions  $u_{01}(x)$  and  $u_{02}(x)$  respectively, where  $u_{0i} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $u_{0i} \geq 0$  and  $u_{0i}$  is compactly supported, i = 1, 2, and let

$$\Omega_i = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty) / u_i(x, t) > 0\}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let 
$$\xi_i(t) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \Omega_i$$
,  $\Xi_i(t) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \Omega_i$ , for  $t \ge 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$\max\{|\xi_1(t) - \xi_2(t)|, |\Xi_1(t) - \Xi_2(t)|\} \le W_{\infty}(u_{01}, u_{02}), \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty), (3)$$

where  $W_{\infty}(u_{01}, u_{02})$  is a constant, which depends only on the initial data  $u_{01}, u_{02}$  and is defined in (18).

The finite speed of propagation property follows by just taking as one of the solutions a time translation of the explicit Barenblatt solution which is known to have compact support expanding at the rate  $t^{1/(m+1)}$ .

# 2 Proof

Consider a sequence of functions  $u_n \in C^{\infty}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R})$ , which are strong solutions (see [3]) of the problems  $P_n$ 

$$u_t = (u^m)_{xx}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0, \quad m > 1, \tag{4}$$

$$u(x,0) = u_{0n}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\tag{5}$$

where  $u_{0n}(x)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a sequence of bounded, integrable and strictly positive  $C^{\infty}$ -smooth functions such that all their derivatives are bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the condition  $(m-1)(u_{0n}^m)_{xx} \geq -au_{0n}$  holds for some constant a>0, and  $u_{0n}\to u_0$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  if  $n\to +\infty$ . We may always do it in such a way that  $||u_{0n}||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}=||u_0||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$  and  $||u_{0n}||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq ||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$ . From the  $L^1$ -contraction property it follows that  $u_n\to u$  in  $C([0,+\infty):L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  if  $n\to +\infty$ , where u is a strong solution of (1)-(2) (see [3], chapt. III).

This sequence of regularized solutions can be further approximated by a sequence of initial boundary value problems. We introduce a cutoff sequence  $\theta_k \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \ 1 < k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with the following properties:

$$\theta_k(x) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| < k - 1, \tag{6}$$

$$\theta_k(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| \ge k, \quad 0 < \theta_k < 1 \text{ for } k - 1 < |x| < k.$$
 (7)

The initial boundary value problem  $P_{nk}$ 

$$u_t = (u^m)_{xx}, \quad x \in (-k, k), \quad t > 0,$$
 (8)

$$u(x,0) = u_{0nk}(x) := \frac{u_{0n}(x)\theta_k(x)}{\|u_{0n}(x)\theta_k(x)\|_{L^1}},$$
(9)

$$u(x,t) = 0 \text{ for } |x| = k, \quad t \ge 0,$$
 (10)

is mass preserving and has a unique solution  $u_{nk}(x,t) \in C^{\infty}((0,+\infty) \times [-k,k]) \cap C([0,+\infty) \times [-k,k])$ , strictly positive for  $x \in (-k,k)$  and zero at the boundary (see [3], prop.6, chapt.II). Because  $u_{0nk} \longrightarrow u_{0n}$  as  $k \longrightarrow +\infty$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u_{nk} \to u_n$  in  $C([0,+\infty) : L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  if  $k \to +\infty$ , where  $u_n$  is solution of the problem  $P_n$ .

Thanks to estimates independent of k for the moments of the solutions of the  $P_{nk}$  problems and passing to the limit in the corresponding inequalities, it can be easily shown that the solution  $u_n(x,t)$  of (4)-(5) enjoys an important property. It holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^p u_n(x,t) dx < +\infty, \quad \forall t \ge 0, \quad \forall p \in [1, +\infty).$$
(11)

We shall denote by  $\mathbb{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , with  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ , the set of all probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  with finite moments of order p. Let  $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$  be the set of all probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  having  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$  as marginal distributions (see [6]). The Wasserstein p-distance between two probability measures  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$  is defined as

$$W_p(\mu, \nu)^p := \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\nu, \mu)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x - y|^p d\pi(x, y), \quad \forall p \in [1, +\infty).$$
 (12)

 $W_p$  defines a metric on  $\mathbb{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$  (see [6]). Bound (11) then shows that the Wasserstein p-distance between any two solutions which is initially finite, remains finite at any subsequent time.

Any probability measure  $\mu$  on the real line can be described in terms of its cumulative distribution function  $F(x) = \mu((-\infty, x])$  which is a right-continuous and non-decreasing function with  $F(-\infty) = 0$  and  $F(+\infty) = 1$ . Then, the generalized inverse of F defined by  $F^{-1}(\eta) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R}/F(x) > \eta\}$  is also a right-continuous and non-decreasing function on [0, 1].

Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$  be probability measures and let F(x), G(x) be the respective distribution functions. On the real line (see [6]), the value of the Wasserstein p-distance  $W_p(\mu, \nu)$  can be explicitly written in terms of the generalized inverse of the distribution functions,

$$W_p(\mu,\nu)^p = \int_0^1 |F^{-1}(\eta) - G^{-1}(\eta)|^p d\eta, \quad \forall p \in [1, +\infty).$$
 (13)

Let  $u_1(x,t)$ ,  $u_2(x,t)$  be strong solutions of (1)-(2) corresponding to initial conditions  $u_{01}(x)$  and  $u_{02}(x)$  respectively. We denote by  $u_{1n}(x,t)$  and  $u_{2n}(x,t)$  the strong solutions of (4)-(5) with initial conditions  $u_{01n}(x)$  and  $u_{02n}(x)$  respectively, where  $u_{0in} \longrightarrow u_{0i}$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  for i = 1, 2. Analogously, we consider the solutions  $u_{1nk}(x,t)$  and  $u_{2nk}(x,t)$  of the problems  $P_{nk}$  converging towards  $u_{in}(x,t)$  for i = 1, 2 in  $C([0,+\infty):L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

Let  $F_{ink}(x,t)$  be the distribution functions of  $u_{ink}$  for i=1,2. A direct computation shows that  $F_{ink}^{-1}(\eta,t)$  solves the following equation

$$\frac{\partial F_{ink}^{-1}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left( \left( \frac{\partial F_{ink}^{-1}}{\partial \eta} \right)^{-m} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{14}$$

for t > 0 and  $\eta \in [0, 1]$ . Making use of equation (14), it is easy to prove that the Wasserstein p-distance

$$W_p(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk})(t) = \left\{ \int_0^1 |F_{1nk}^{-1}(\eta, t) - F_{2nk}^{-1}(\eta, t)|^p d\eta \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall p \in [1, +\infty)$$

is a non-increasing in time function. In fact, for any given  $p \ge 1$ , integrating by parts one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{0}^{1} |F_{1nk}^{-1}(\eta, t) - F_{2nk}^{-1}(\eta, t)|^{p} d\eta = p(p-1) \int_{0}^{1} |F_{1nk}^{-1}(\eta, t) - F_{2nk}^{-1}(\eta, t)|^{p-2}$$

$$\times \left( F_{1nk}^{-1}(\eta, t)_{\eta} - F_{2nk}^{-1}(\eta, t)_{\eta} \right) \left[ \left( F_{1nk}^{-1}(\eta, t)_{\eta} \right)^{-m} - \left( F_{2nk}^{-1}(\eta, t)_{\eta} \right)^{-m} \right] d\eta \le 0$$

since the function  $x^{-m}$ ,  $m \ge 1$ , is decreasing. Note that the boundary terms vanish due to the compact support of the solutions, which implies

$$\lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \left( F_{ink}^{-1}(\eta, t)_{\eta} \right)^{-1} = \lim_{\eta \to 1^-} \left( F_{ink}^{-1}(\eta, t)_{\eta} \right)^{-1} = 0 \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

On the other hand, for all  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ ,

$$W_p(u_{1nk}, u_{2nk}) \to W_p(u_{1n}, u_{2n}), \quad k \to +\infty,$$
 (16)

$$W_p(u_{1n}, u_{2n}) \to W_p(u_1, u_2), \quad n \to +\infty.$$
 (17)

This implies that  $W_p(u_1, u_2) \leq W_p(u_{01}, u_{02}), \forall p \in [1, +\infty)$ . Since the function  $W_p(u_1, u_2)$  is increasing with respect to p, we can define the quantity

$$W_{\infty}(u_1, u_2) := \lim_{p \uparrow + \infty} W_p(u_1, u_2) = \sup_{\eta \in (0, 1)} \operatorname{ess} |F_1^{-1}(\eta, t) - F_2^{-1}(\eta, t)|.$$
 (18)

Since  $W_{\infty}(u_{01}, u_{02})$  is finite, we deduce easily that  $W_{\infty}(u_1, u_2)$  is also a non-increasing in time function.

Note that the inverse function  $F^{-1}(\eta)$  of a distribution  $F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} u(s)ds$ , where u(s) is a integrable compactly supported function, is continuous at the point  $\eta = 0$  and  $\eta = 1$ . Thus we can justify the inequality

$$W_{\infty}(u_{1}, u_{2}) = \sup_{\eta \in (0,1)} \operatorname{ess} |F_{1}^{-1}(\eta, t) - F_{2}^{-1}(\eta, t)| \ge \max \left\{ |F_{1}^{-1}(0, t) - F_{2}^{-1}(0, t)|, |F_{1}^{-1}(1, t) - F_{2}^{-1}(1, t)| \right\} \ge \max \left\{ |\xi_{1}(t) - \xi_{2}(t)|, |\Xi_{1}(t) - \Xi_{2}(t)| \right\}.$$
(19)

We remark that the above arguments only hold in one space dimension due to the fact that only in this case one can express the p-Wasserstein distance in terms of pseudo-inverse distribution functions, as given in (13).

# References

[1] J.A. Carrillo, G. Toscani. Asymptotic  $L^1$ -decay of solutions of the Porous Medium equation to self-similarity. Indiana University Mathematics Journal,

- **49**, 1 (2000), 113–142
- [2] A. S. Kalashnikov. Some problems of the qualitative theory of non-linear degenerate second-order parabolic equations. Russian Math. Surveys 42, 2 (1987), 169-222.
- [3] J. L. Vázquez. An Introduction to the mathematical theory of the porous medium equation. Shape Optimization and Free Boundaries (Montreal, PQ, 1990), 347–389, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 380, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1992
- [4] J. L. Vázquez. Asymptotic behaviour for the porous medium equation posed in the whole space. J. Evol. Equ. 3 (2003), 67-118
- [5] J. L. Vázquez. Asymptotic behaviour and propagation properties of the onedimensional flow of gas in a porous medium. Transactions of the american mathematical society, 277, 2, June 1983
- [6] C. Villani. Topics in mass transportation. Graduate Studies in Mathematics58, AMS ISSN: 1065-7339, 2003.