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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove new constructive coercivity estimates and convergence to equilibrium for a spatially non-homogeneous system of Landau equations with moderately soft potentials. We show that the nonlinear collision operator conserves each species' mass, total momentum, total energy and that the Boltzmann entropy is nonincreasing along solutions of the system. The entropy decay vanishes if and only if the Boltzmann distributions of the single species are Maxwellians with the same momentum and energy. A linearization of the collision operator is computed, which has the same conservation properties as its nonlinear counterpart. We show that the linearized system dissipates a quadratic entropy, and prove existence of spectral gap and exponential decay of the solution towards the global equilibrium. As a consequence, convergence of smooth solutions of the nonlinear problem toward the unique global equilibrium is shown, provided the initial data are sufficiently close to the equilibrium. Our proof is based on new spectral gap estimates and uses a strategy similar to [12] based on an hypocoercivity method developed by Mouhot and Neumann in [28].
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## 1. Introduction

This manuscript is concerned with the Cauchy problem for a system of spatially non-homogeneous Landau equations describing collisions in an ideal plasma mixture. The mixture is constituted by $N \geq 2$ species and each species $i=1, \ldots, N$ has mass $m_{i}$ and is described by a density function $F_{i}(x, p, t)$ defined in the phase-space of position and momentum. The vector $F:=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{N}\right)$ is said to be a solution to the multi-species Landau system if each $F_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{t} F_{i}+\frac{p}{m_{i}} \cdot \nabla_{x} F_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} Q_{i j}\left(F_{i}, F_{j}\right)  \tag{1}\\
F(x, p, 0)=F_{\text {in }}(x, p)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $(x, p, t) \in \mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$. The operator $Q_{i j}$ is the quadratic Landau collision operator defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i j}\left(F_{i}, F_{j}\right):=\operatorname{div}_{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(F_{j}^{\prime} \nabla F_{i}-F_{i} \nabla F_{j}^{\prime}\right) d p^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we adopt the shortened notation $F \equiv F(x, p, t), F^{\prime} \equiv F\left(x, p^{\prime}, t\right)$. The term $A^{(i j)}[z]=\left\{a_{k s}^{(i j)}(z)\right\}$ denotes a positive and symmetric matrix with real-valued entries defined as:

$$
A^{(i j)}[z]:=C^{(i, j)}\left(\operatorname{Id}-\frac{z \otimes z}{|z|^{2}}\right) \varphi(|z|), \quad z \neq 0, \quad C^{(i, j)}>0
$$

which acts as the projection operator onto the space orthogonal to the vector $z$. The function $\varphi(|z|)$ is a scalar valued function determined from the original Boltzmann kernel describing how particles interact. If the interaction strength between particles at a distance $r$ is proportional to $r^{1-s}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(|z|):=|z|^{\gamma+2}, \quad \gamma=\frac{(s-5)}{(s-1)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C^{(i, j)}>0$ is positive and symmetric in $i, j$, and is proportional to the reduced mass of the system $m_{i} m_{j} /\left(m_{i}+m_{j}\right)$. We refer to [25, Chapter

4] for a more accurate derivation and discussion of (1). The original Landau system with Coulomb interactions correspond to $\gamma=-3$.

The case of soft potentials has been proven to be harder. For moderately soft-potentials $\gamma \in[-2,0)$ existence and uniqueness of spatially homogeneous solutions have been proven by Fournier and Guerin [19] and by Guerin [23] using a probabilistic approach, as well as by Wu [36] and by Alexandre, Liao

35 and Lin [1]. Carrapatoso, Tristani and Wu [8] recently showed exponential decay estimates for the linearized semigroup and constructed solutions in a close-toequilibrium regime to the non-linear inhomogeneous equation. The proof in [8] is based on an abstract method developed by the first author and collaborators in 20.

Global well-posedness theory is still missing for the Coulomb case $\gamma=-3$. For the homogeneous setting, Arsenev-Peskov [3] showed existence of weak solutions, uniqueness was later proved by Fournier [18. Villani [33] proved existence of a new class of solutions, the so called H -solutions, which are defined via the
$L^{1}$ - bound in time of the entropy production. Recently Alexander, Liao and Lin
${ }_{45}^{6}$ 1] gave a proof of existence of weak solutions in weighted $L^{2}$-space under smallness assumption on initial data. Desvillettes [14] showed that the $H$-solutions are indeed weak-solutions since they belong to some weighted $L_{t}^{1} L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$-space and Carrapatoso, Desvillettes and He [7] have proved time convergence to the associate equilibrium at some explicitly computable rate. For the inhomoge${ }_{50}$ neous setting, Guo [24] and Strain, Guo 30, 31 developed an existence and convergence towards equilibrium theory based on energy methods for initial data close in some Sobolev norm to the equilibrium state. Recently the set of initial data for which this theory is valid has been improved by Carrapatoso and Mischler [9] via a linearization method.

Recently the first author and Guillen have shown, for the Coulomb case, global in time existence of classical solution for a modified isotropic homogeneous Landau equation

$$
\partial_{t} F=\operatorname{div}(a[F] \nabla F-F \nabla a[F]),
$$

55
in the case of radially symmetric (but no smallness assumptions!) initial data [22]. Moreover, using the theory of $A_{p}$ weights, they showed that solutions to the original Landau equations with general initial data for $\gamma>-2$ have an instantaneous regularization which does not deteriorate as time increases, with bounds that only depend on the physical quantities, mass, momentum and en-
${ }_{60}$ ergy [21].

We believe that this is the first work that concerns system (1) and its linearized version. The aim of this work is to extend the spectral analysis valid for the mono-species operator to the multi-species operator with different particles' mass. From a different prospective, the second author and collaborators have recently studied a system of Boltzmann equations for mixtures of mono-atomic particles with same mass in the case of hard and Maxwellian potentials [12]: the authors show an explicit spectral-gap estimate for the linearized collision operator and prove the exponential decay of the solutions towards the global
equilibrium by generalizing the hypocoercivity method developed by Mouhot and Neumann in [28] for the mono-species case to the multi-species case.

### 1.1. Main results

The main goal of this paper is to give a constructive proof of exponential decay rate for solutions to the linear system

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} f_{i}+\frac{p}{m_{i}} \cdot \nabla_{x} f_{i} & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{i, j}(f), \quad i=1, \ldots, N  \tag{4}\\
f(x, p, 0) & =f_{\mathrm{in}}(x, p)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{i, j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right):= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\left(Q_{i j}\left(\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}, M_{j}\right)+Q_{i j}\left(M_{i}, \sqrt{M_{j}} f_{j}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \operatorname{div}_{p} \int \sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]  \tag{5}\\
& \cdot\left(\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla f_{i}-\sqrt{M_{i}} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}-f_{i} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}+f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}\right) d p^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

obtained from (11) via the perturbative expansion $F_{i}=M_{i}+\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}$, with $M_{i}$ the Maxwellian equilibrium of the $i^{t h}$ species

$$
M_{i}(p):=\frac{\rho_{i}}{\left(2 \pi m_{i} k_{B} T\right)^{3 / 2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|p|^{2}}{m_{i} k_{B} T}},
$$

where $k_{B}$ denotes the Boltzmann's constant and $T$ the temperature. The explicit computations of the linearization $L_{i, j}$ are outlined before Theorem 5 .

We will show that any solution to (5) converges exponentially fast to the global equilibrium. The rate of decay is computed explicitly, following an approach already used by the second author and collaborators in [12], which is based upon an abstract method by Mouhot and Neumann 28 .

The starting point is the existence of spectral gap for the mono-species linearized collision operator. By exploiting the symmetry properties of the operator we are able to bound the cross terms by relating them with the differences of momentum and energy. Hence a spectral gap for the multi-species linearized operator follows. The hypocoercivity method by Mouhot and Neumann [28] yields convergence to global equilibrium for the solution to the in-homogeneous
linearized system.

Define with $L:=\left(L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{N}\right)$ the vector with components $L_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{i, j}$ with $L_{i, j}$ as in (5), and by $T:=\left(T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{N}\right)$ the transport operator, $T_{i} f=$ $\frac{p}{m_{i}} \cdot \nabla_{x} f_{i}$. We also denote by $\Gamma_{i}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)$ the quadratic nonlinear term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i}(f, f)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Q_{i j}\left(\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}, \sqrt{M_{j}} f_{j}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the space of all functions $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{N}\right)$ such that the following norm is finite:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}:=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\langle p\rangle^{\gamma / 2} P \nabla f_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}^{2}+\left\|\langle p\rangle^{(\gamma+2) / 2}(\mathbb{I}-P) \nabla f_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}^{2}+ \\
&+\left\|\langle p\rangle^{(\gamma+2) / 2} f_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle p\rangle:=\sqrt{1+|p|^{2}}$ and $P:=\frac{p \otimes p}{|p|^{2}}$. We denote by $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)$ all square integrable functions in the $p$-variable and with an abuse of notation we say that $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{N}\right) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)$ if

$$
\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}<+\infty
$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space which embeds continuously into $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)$.

Our main results are summarized below.
Theorem 1. There exists an explicitly computable constant $\lambda>0$ such that:

$$
-(f, L f)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)} \geq \lambda\left\|f-\Pi^{L} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}, \quad f \in D(L)
$$

${ }_{90} \quad$ where $\Pi^{L}$ is the projection operator on the kernel $N(L)$ of $L$.
The starting point of the proof of Theorem 1 is a coercivity estimate for the part of the operator $L$ that describes collisions among particles of the same species. Let us denote with $L^{m} \equiv\left(L_{11}, \ldots, L_{N N}\right)$ and with $\Pi^{m}$ the projection operator onto the null space of $L^{m}, N\left(L^{m}\right)$. Estimates of the form

$$
C_{\gamma}\left\|f-\Pi^{m} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \geq-\left(f, L^{m} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)} \geq \lambda_{m}\left\|f-\Pi^{m} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}, \quad f \in D\left(L^{m}\right)
$$

have been proven in [4, 13, 24, 27, 29. Hence the resolvent of $L^{m}$ is compact for $\gamma+2 \geq 0$ and there exists a spectral gap in $L^{2}$ for $\gamma \geq-2$.

The second step in the proof consists in bounding the contribution of $f^{\perp} \equiv$ $f-\Pi^{m} f$ inside the quadratic form $-\left(f, L^{b} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}$, where $L^{b} \equiv L-L^{m}$ describes collisions between particles of different species:

$$
-\left(f^{\perp}, L^{b} f^{\perp}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)} \leq C_{1}\left\|f^{\perp}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}
$$

In the third step, the contribution of $f^{\|} \equiv \Pi^{m} f$ inside the quadratic form $-\left(f, L^{b} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}$ is bounded from below by the differences of momentum $u_{i}-u_{j}$ and differences of energies $e_{i}-e_{j}$ :

$$
-\left(f^{\|}, L^{b} f^{\|}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)} \geq C_{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N}\left(\left|u_{i}-u_{j}\right|^{2}+\left(e_{i}-e_{j}\right)^{2}\right), \quad f \in D(L)
$$

This result is obtained by exploiting the structure of $N\left(L^{m}\right)$.
Finally, for the fourth and last step we recall an estimate from [12], which relates $u_{i}-u_{j}$ and $e_{i}-e_{j}$ to the $\mathcal{H}$ norms of $f-\Pi^{L} f$ and $f-\Pi^{m} f$ for each $f \in D(L):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{N}\left(\left|u_{i}-u_{j}\right|^{2}+\left(e_{i}-e_{j}\right)^{2}\right) \geq C_{3}\left(\left\|f-\Pi^{L} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}-2\left\|f-\Pi^{m} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate (7) was previously obtained in [12] for $f$ solution to a Boltzmann $N\left(L^{m}\right)$ and $N(L)$ for the Boltzmann equation, which is intimately connected to its conservation laws. Since the kernel of the Landau operator has the same structure as its Boltzmann counterpart, we refer to [12, Lemma 15] for the proof of (7).

Finally, the non-positivity of $L^{b}$ allows us to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
-(f, L f)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)} & =-\left(f, L^{m} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}-\left(f, L^{b} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)} \\
& \geq-\left(f, L^{m} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}-\eta\left(f, L^{b} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for an arbitrary $\eta \in(0,1]$. Putting together the results obtained in the previous four steps and choosing $\eta$ small enough yield the desired spectral gap, concluding the proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 2. Let $f^{\infty}$ be the global equilibrium of the system (4), that is, $f^{\infty}=$ $\Pi^{L-T} f=\Pi^{L-T} f_{\text {in }}$ where $\Pi^{L-T}$ is the projection operator on the kernel $N(L-$ $T$ ) of $L-T$. There exist explicitly computable constants $\tau>0, C>0$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(t)-f^{\infty}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C e^{-t / \tau}, \quad t>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{M}(p)$ be the equilibrium state to (1) uniquely determined by the mass, first and second momentum of the initial data. Assume there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{M}}}\left(F_{\mathrm{in}}-\mathcal{M}\right)\right\|_{H^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \varepsilon
$$

with $k \geq 4$ then the nonlinear problem (1) has an unique solution $F(x, p, t)$ which decays exponentially fast towards the global equilibrium with a constant rate that only depends on the linearized part of the operator :

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{M}}}(F-\mathcal{M})\right\|_{H^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C_{i n} \varepsilon e^{-\lambda t / 4}, \quad t>0
$$

The explicit value of $\lambda$ is computed in Theorem 1.

Remark 1. The global equilibrium states $\mathcal{M}(p)$ and $f^{\infty}(p)$ are defined in The- orem 4 and Theorem $\sqrt{6}$ respectively.

In order to prove Theorem 2 we use the method developed in [28] which (i) relates coercivity estimates on $L$ to the evolution of the corresponding semigroup in the Sobolev space $H^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, and (ii) combines spectral gap estimates for the linearized operator with bounds of the nonlinear terms to obtain asymptotic-in-time estimates for the non-linear problem when initial data are sufficiently close to the equilibrium. We summarize the method in the theorem below:

Theorem 3. [28, Thr. 1.1, Thr. 4.1]

- Let $L$ be a linear operator. Assume there exists a suitable decomposition
$L=K-\Lambda$ such that
(i) $\nu_{1}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq(f, \Lambda f)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)} \leq \nu_{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}$,
(ii) $\left(\nabla_{p} \Lambda f, \nabla_{p} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)} \geq \nu_{3}\left\|\nabla_{p} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}-\nu_{4}\|f\|_{L_{p}^{2}}^{2}$,
$\left(\right.$ iii) $\left(\nabla_{p} K f, \nabla_{p} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)} \leq C(\delta)\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}^{2}+\delta\left\|\nabla_{p} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)}^{2}$,
(iv) $\left|(f, L g)_{L_{p}^{2}}\right| \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}$,
$(v)-(f, L f)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d p\right)} \geq \lambda\left\|f-\Pi^{L} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}$.
Then $\mathcal{L}:=L-v \cdot \nabla_{x}$ generates a strongly continuous evolution semi-group which satisfies

$$
\left\|e^{\mathcal{L} t}\left(\mathbb{I}-\Pi^{\mathcal{L}}\right)\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C e^{-t / \tau}
$$

for some explicit constants $C$ and $\tau$ that only depend on the constants appearing in $(i)-(v)$.

- Consider the nonlinear problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t}+v \cdot \nabla_{x} F=Q(F, F), \quad F(\cdot, 0)=F_{\mathrm{in}}(\cdot) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote by $F^{\infty}$ the global equilibrium to (9) uniquely determined by the mass, first and second momentum of the initial data. Let

$$
\Gamma(f, f)+L f:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{F^{\infty}}} Q\left(F_{\infty}+f \sqrt{F_{\infty}}, F_{\infty}+f \sqrt{F_{\infty}}\right)
$$

with $L f$ a linear operator satisfying $(v)$ above, and $\Gamma(f, f)$ such that
$\left(i i^{\prime}\right)\left(D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} \Lambda f, D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \geq \nu_{3}\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3}, \mathcal{H}\right)}^{2}-\nu_{4}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}$,
$\left(i i i^{\prime}\right)\left(D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} K f, D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C(\delta)\|f\|_{H^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}+\delta\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}$,
$(v i)\|\Gamma(f, f)\|_{H^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\left(\sum_{l, j \leq k}\left\|\partial_{x}^{l} \partial_{v}^{j} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3}, \mathcal{H}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2}$,
for some $k \geq 4$ and $|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq k,|\beta| \geq 1$.
Then (9) has an unique smooth solution that decays exponentially fast towards $F_{\infty}$ :

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{F^{\infty}}}\left(F-F^{\infty}\right)\right\|_{H^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C_{i n} \varepsilon e^{-\lambda t / 4}, \quad t>0
$$

provided the initial data $F_{\text {in }}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{F^{\infty}}}\left(F_{\mathrm{in}}-F^{\infty}\right)\right\|_{H^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \varepsilon
$$

Conditions $(i)-(i i i)$ state that $\Lambda$ is coercive (in some sense) on the space $\mathcal{H}$, while $K$ has a regularizing property. Assumption $(v)$ is exactly the spectral gap proved in Theorem 1. For (vi) will use an estimate proved for the mono-species case by Guo in [24, Thr. 3].

An alternative (and perhaps easier) way of proving Theorem 1 and Theorem 22 is to show that $K$ is compact and $\Lambda$ is coercive, see [12, Lemma 10]. However this method is non-constructive, in the sense that the size of both the spectral gap and rate of convergence will be only given implicitely. For completeness we add the proof of compactness of $K$ in the Appendix. In the following sections we will adopt the procedure outlined earlier that will allow for constructive estimates.

### 1.2. Outline

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: after brief summary of the conservation properties for the non-linear system, Section 2 concerns the formulation of the linearized system and its properties. Section 3 contains the proof to Theorem 1. In Section 4 we present the proof of Theorem 2, Exponential decay is proven with an explicit rate. Finally, in the Appendix we prove the compactness of the operator $K$.

We conclude by mentioning that among the several open problems, the one about estimates in the case of very soft potentials $\gamma<-2$ is a particularly interesting question.

### 1.3. Notation

Vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ will be denoted by $v, v^{\prime}, p, p^{\prime}$ and so on, the inner product between $v$ and $w$ will be written $(v, w)$. The identity matrix will be noted by $\mathbb{I}$, the trace of a matrix $X$ will be denoted $\operatorname{Tr}(X)$. The initial condition for
the Cauchy problem will always be denoted by $f_{\text {in }}$ and $C_{i n}$ will be any positive constant that only depends on the initial data. Unless otherwise specified, $\int d p \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} d p, \int d x \equiv \int_{\mathbb{T}^{3}} d x$. The space $L_{p}^{2}$ denotes the classical Lebesgue spaces $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with respect to the variable $p$. We denote by $H_{x, p}^{k}, k \geq 1$ the Sobolev space $H^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with respect to the variable $x$ and $p$ and by $L_{x}^{2} \mathcal{H}$ the space of all functions with finite norm $\left\|\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3}\right)}$.
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## 2. Conserved quantities and linearization

In this section we first outline the conservation laws and entropy decay property which hold for (1). Then we present a linearization of (1) around an equilibrium state and show that the new linear system also satisfies conservation of mass, total momentum and total energy.

Theorem 4. Let $F_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$ be a solution to (1)-(2). The mass, the total momentum and energy of the system are conserved over time, i.e.

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \iint F_{i} d p d x=\frac{d}{d t} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \iint p F_{i} d p d x=\frac{d}{d t} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \iint \frac{|p|^{2}}{2 m_{i}} F_{i} d p d x=0
$$

In addition the Boltzmann entropy functional $H\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{N}\right)$ defined as

$$
H\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{N}\right):=\int \sum_{i=1}^{N} F_{i} \log \frac{F_{i}}{m_{i}^{3}} d p
$$

decreases along solutions to (1), and it is constant (that is, the entropy production vanishes) if and only if the distribution functions $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{N}\right)$ are Maxwellians $\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{N}\right)$ of the form:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{i}(x, p)=\frac{\rho_{i}(x)}{\left(2 \pi m_{i} k_{B} T(x)\right)^{3 / 2}} e^{-\frac{\left|p-m_{i} u(x)\right|^{2}}{2 m_{i} k_{B} T(x)}}
$$

The density $\rho_{i}(x)$, velocity $u(x)$ and temperature $T(x)$ are uniquely determined by the conservation properties:
$T(x)=\frac{1}{\sum_{1}^{N} \rho_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int \frac{\left|p-m_{i} u\right|^{2}}{3 m_{i} k_{B}} F_{i} d p, \quad u(x)=\frac{1}{\sum_{1}^{N} \rho_{i} m_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int p F_{i} d p, \quad \rho_{i}(x)=\int F_{i} d p$.
The only local equilibrium that satisfies (1)-(2) is the global equilibrium

$$
\mathcal{M}_{i}(p)=\frac{\bar{\rho}_{i}}{\left(2 \pi m_{i} k_{B} T_{\infty}\right)^{3 / 2}} e^{-\frac{\left|p-m_{i} u_{\infty}\right|^{2}}{2 m_{i} k_{B} T_{\infty}}},
$$

with $\bar{\rho}_{i}, T_{\infty}$ and $u_{\infty}$ constants uniquely determined by the conservation properties:
$T_{\infty}=\frac{1}{\sum_{1}^{N} \bar{\rho}_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \iint \frac{\left|p-m_{i} u\right|^{2}}{3 m_{i} k_{B}} F_{i} d p d x, u_{\infty}=\frac{1}{\sum_{1}^{N} \bar{\rho}_{i} m_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \iint p F_{i} d p d x, \bar{\rho}_{i}=\iint F_{i} d p d x$.
Proof. The mass conservation follows immediately from the divergence structure of the collision operators. We first show total momentum conservation. Integration by parts yields:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int p Q_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) d p=-\iint A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla f_{i}-f_{i} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
\quad=\iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{div}_{p} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]-\operatorname{div}_{p^{\prime}} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
=\left.\left(\frac{1}{m_{i}}+\frac{1}{m_{j}}\right) \iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{div}_{w} A^{(i j)}[w]\right)\right|_{w=\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}} d p d p^{\prime}=: I_{i j}
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying the transformation $p \leftrightarrow p^{\prime}$ inside $I_{i j}$ and noticing that $w \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mapsto$ $\operatorname{div}_{w} A^{(i j)}[w]$ is an odd function, we find that $I_{i j}$ is skew-symmetric: $I_{i j}=-I_{j i}$. Hence, summing up the above equality w.r.t. $i, j=1, \ldots, N$ we get

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \int p Q_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) d p=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} I_{i j}=0
$$

due to the skew-symmetry of $I_{i j}$.
Similarly, for the conservation of the total energy, integration by parts yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{|p|^{2}}{2} Q_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) d p=-\iint p \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla f_{i}-f_{i} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
& =\iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{div}_{p}\left(A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] p\right)-\operatorname{div}_{p^{\prime}}\left(A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] p\right)\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
& =\iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\right) d p d p^{\prime}+ \\
& \quad+\iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} p \cdot\left(\operatorname{div}_{p} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]-\operatorname{div}_{p^{\prime}} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
& =\iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\right) d p d p^{\prime}+ \\
& \quad+\left.\left(\frac{1}{m_{i}}+\frac{1}{m_{j}}\right) \iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} p \cdot\left(\operatorname{div}_{w} A^{(i j)}[w]\right)\right|_{w=\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}} d p d p^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

We briefly recall here what we mean when we write $\operatorname{div}_{w} A^{(i j)}[w]$. Let $M$ be a $N \times N$ matrix with elements $m_{i, j}: \operatorname{div}{ }_{x} M$ is a vector with components $b_{i}:=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{j}} m_{i, j}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{div}_{z} A^{(i j)}[z]=-2 C^{(i, j)}|z|^{\gamma} z
$$

We denote by $\operatorname{div}_{x} M$ the vector $b$ with components $b_{i}:=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{j}} m_{i, j}$. It follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{m_{i}} \int \frac{|p|^{2}}{2} Q_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) d p=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{m_{i}} \iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\right) d p d p^{\prime}  \tag{10}\\
+\left.\sum_{i, j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{m_{i}}+\frac{1}{m_{j}}\right) \iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} \frac{p}{m_{i}} \cdot\left(\operatorname{div}_{w} A^{(i j)}[w]\right)\right|_{w=\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}} d p d p^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying the transformation $(p, i) \leftrightarrow\left(p^{\prime}, j\right)$ in the terms on the right-hand side of 10 we deduce:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{m_{i}} \int \frac{|p|^{2}}{2} Q_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) d p=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{m_{i}}+\frac{1}{m_{j}}\right) \iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\right) d p d p^{\prime}+ \\
& \quad+\left.\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{m_{i}}+\frac{1}{m_{j}}\right) \iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}\left(w \cdot \operatorname{div}_{w} A^{(i j)}[w]\right)\right|_{w=\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}} d p d p^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $w \cdot \operatorname{div}{ }_{w} A^{(i j)}[w]=-\operatorname{tr} A^{(i j)}[w]$ for $w \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. The total energy conservation follows.

Finally, we show that the entropy functional $H$ is decreasing as time increases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{d}{d t} H\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{N}\right) & =-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \int\left(\log f_{i}+1\right) Q_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) d p \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \iint \frac{\nabla f_{i}}{f_{i}} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla f_{i}-f_{i} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} \frac{\nabla f_{i}}{f_{i}} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\frac{\nabla f_{i}}{f_{i}}-\frac{\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}\right) d p d p^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

By exchanging $i \leftrightarrow j$ and $p \leftrightarrow p^{\prime}$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{d}{d t} H & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} \frac{\nabla f_{i}}{f_{i}} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\frac{\nabla f_{i}}{f_{i}}-\frac{\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
& =-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime} \frac{\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\frac{\nabla f_{i}}{f_{i}}-\frac{\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \iint f_{i} f_{j}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\nabla f_{i}}{f_{i}}-\frac{\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}\right) \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\frac{\nabla f_{i}}{f_{i}}-\frac{\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}\right) d p d p^{\prime} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $A^{(i j)}$ is a positive definite matrix.
Hence, $\frac{d}{d t} H=0$ if and only if $\frac{\nabla f_{i}}{f_{i}}-\frac{\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}$ lies in the kernel of $A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]$, that is, if and only if there exists a scalar function $\lambda_{i j}\left[v, v^{\prime}\right]: \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla f_{i}}{f_{i}}-\frac{\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}}{f_{j}^{\prime}}=\lambda_{i j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next show that the matrix $\left\{\lambda_{i j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p}{m_{i}}\right]\right\}_{i, j}$ is constant for all $i$ and $j$. Applying the transformation $(p, i) \leftrightarrow\left(p^{\prime}, j\right)$ in (11) we get

$$
\lambda_{i j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]=\lambda_{j i}\left[\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}, \frac{p}{m_{i}}\right],
$$

which implies

$$
\lambda_{i j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p}{m_{i}}\right]=\lambda_{j i}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p}{m_{i}}\right] .
$$

We differentiate 11) w.r.t. $p$ and obtain:

$$
D^{2} \log f_{i}(p)=\nabla_{p} \lambda_{i j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \otimes\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)+\frac{1}{m_{i}} \lambda_{i j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \mathbb{I} .
$$

Consequently for $p^{\prime} / m_{j}=p / m_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{p_{k} p_{s}}^{2} \log f_{i}(p)=\frac{1}{m_{i}} \lambda_{i j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p}{m_{i}}\right] \delta_{k s}, \quad k, s=1,2,3 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiation of 12 leads to:

$$
\partial_{p_{\ell}} \partial_{p_{k} p_{s}}^{2} \log f_{i}(p)=\frac{1}{m_{i}} \partial_{p_{\ell}} \lambda_{i j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p}{m_{i}}\right] \delta_{k s}, \quad k, s, \ell=1,2,3 .
$$

Since the order of the derivatives on the left hand side is interchangeable (assuming enough smoothness for $f_{i}$ ), one deduces that

$$
\partial_{p_{\ell}} \lambda_{i j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p}{m_{i}}\right] \delta_{k s}=\partial_{p_{k}} \lambda_{i j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}, \frac{p}{m_{i}}\right] \delta_{\ell s}, \quad k, s, \ell=1,2,3
$$

which is consistent if and only if $v \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mapsto \lambda_{i j}[v, v]$ is constant.
Moreover, (12) implies that, for $i=1, \ldots, N, \lambda_{i j}$ does not depend on $j$. Summarizing, we have found that $\lambda_{i, j}[v, v]$ is constant, symmetric in $i, j$ and does not depend on $j$. Hence $\lambda_{i, j}[v, v] \equiv-\alpha^{(2)}, \alpha^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}$, for $i, j=1, \ldots, N$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. This fact and $\sqrt{12}$ imply that $\log f_{i}(p)$ is a second order polynomial in $p:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log f_{i}(p)=\alpha_{i}^{(0)}+\alpha_{i}^{(1)} \cdot p-\alpha^{(2)} \frac{|p|^{2}}{2 m_{i}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (11) and (13) it follows:

$$
\alpha_{i}^{(1)}-\alpha_{j}^{(1)}-\alpha^{(2)}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)=-\alpha^{(2)}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right),
$$

which leads to $\alpha_{i}^{(1)}=\alpha_{j}^{(1)}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$ after evaluation for $p^{\prime} / m_{j}=p / m_{i}$. We conclude that

$$
\log f_{i}(p)=\alpha_{i}^{(0)}+\alpha^{(1)} \cdot p-\alpha^{(2)} \frac{|p|^{2}}{2 m_{i}}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

Conservation of mass, momentum and energy uniquely determine the constants $\alpha_{i}^{(0)}, \alpha^{(1)}$ and $\alpha^{(2)}$.

Linearization around the equilibrium.
We now linearize the collision operator $Q$ around the Maxwellians $\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{N}\right)$ defined as

$$
M_{i}(p)=\frac{\rho_{i}}{\left(2 \pi m_{i} k_{B} T\right)^{3 / 2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|p|^{2}}{m_{i} k_{B} T}}
$$

It holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} Q_{i j}\left(M_{i}+\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}, M_{j}+\sqrt{M_{j}} f_{j}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{N} Q_{i j}\left(M_{i}, \sqrt{M_{j}} f_{j}\right)+Q_{i j}\left(\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}, M_{j}\right)+ \\
& +Q_{i j}\left(\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}, \sqrt{M_{j}} f_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

taking into account that $Q_{i, j}\left(M_{i}, M_{j}\right)=0$. Let us first compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{i j}\left(\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}, M_{j}\right) & =\operatorname{div}_{p} \int A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(M_{j}^{\prime} \nabla\left(\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}\right)-\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i} \nabla M_{j}^{\prime}\right) d p^{\prime} \\
& =\operatorname{div}_{p} \int A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\left(M_{j}^{\prime} \nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}-\sqrt{M_{i}} \nabla M_{j}^{\prime}\right) f_{i}+M_{j}^{\prime} \sqrt{M_{i}} \nabla f_{i}\right) d p^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rewriting

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{j}^{\prime} \nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}-\sqrt{M_{i}} \nabla M_{j}^{\prime} & =\sqrt{M_{i}} M_{j}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \log M_{j}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2 k_{B} T}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)\right) \\
& =-\sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}-\frac{\sqrt{M_{i}} M_{j}^{\prime}}{2 k_{B} T}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right), \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

it follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i j}\left(\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}, M_{j}\right)=\operatorname{div}_{p} \int \sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla f_{i}-f_{i} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}\right) d p^{\prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \equiv 0$. We now consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{i j}\left(M_{i}, \sqrt{M_{j}} f_{j}\right) & =\operatorname{div}_{p} \int A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla M_{i}-M_{i} \nabla\left(\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} f_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right) d p^{\prime} \\
& =\operatorname{div}_{p} \int A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(f_{j}^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla M_{i}-M_{i} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}\right)-M_{i} \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}\right) d p^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using similar calculations as in (14) one gets

$$
\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla M_{i}-M_{i} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}=\sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}+\frac{M_{i} \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}{2 k_{B} T}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)
$$

which implies
$Q_{i j}\left(M_{i}, \sqrt{M_{j}} f_{j}\right)=\operatorname{div}_{p} \int \sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}-\sqrt{M_{i}} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}\right) d p^{\prime}$.

Adding $\sqrt{15}$ with $\sqrt{16}$ (and dividing by $\sqrt{M_{i}}$ ) we obtain the linearized collision operator:

$$
L_{i}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right):= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \operatorname{div}_{p} \int \sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]  \tag{17}\\
& \cdot\left(\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla f_{i}-\sqrt{M_{i}} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}-f_{i} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}+f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}\right) d p^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

We briefly recall the conserved quantities for $L_{i}$ :

Theorem 5. Let $f_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$ be the solution to the linear system:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} f_{i}+\frac{p}{m_{i}} \cdot \nabla_{x} f_{i} & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) \\
f(x, p, 0) & =f_{\text {in }}(x, p)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

with $L_{i j}$ defined as in 17). The mass $\iint \sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i} d p d x$, total momentum $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \iint p \sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i} d p d x$ and total energy $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \iint\left(|p|^{2} / 2 m_{i}\right) \sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i} d p d x$ are constant in time.

Proof. The mass of each function $\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}$ is conserved because of the divergence form of the operator. Moreover, with an integration by parts we can deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int p \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{M_{i}} L_{i}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{N}\right) d p \\
& =-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \iint \sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla f_{i}-\sqrt{M_{i}} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}-f_{i} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}+f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}\right) d p d p^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

because the quantity inside the integral is antisymmetric for the transformation $(i, p) \leftrightarrow\left(j, p^{\prime}\right)$. Finally, the same transformation and another integration by parts allow us to write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{|p|^{2}}{2 m_{i}} \sqrt{M_{i}} L_{i}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{N}\right) d p \\
&=- \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \iint \sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}} \frac{p}{m_{i}} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \\
& \cdot\left(\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla f_{i}-\sqrt{M_{i}} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}-f_{i} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}+f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
&=- \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \iint \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \\
& \cdot\left(\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla f_{i}-\sqrt{M_{i}} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}-f_{i} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}+f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}\right) d p d p^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)=0$. The proof is complete.

Structure of the linearized collision operator.
We first show that $L_{i j}$ can be rewritten in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \operatorname{div}_{p} \int M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right)-\nabla\left(\frac{f_{j}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}\right)\right) d p^{\prime} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove 18 we first notice that:

$$
\nabla \log \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}=-\frac{1}{2 k_{B} T} \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}=\frac{1}{2 k_{B} T}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)+\nabla \log \sqrt{M_{i}}
$$

It follows that the term $\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla f_{i}-f_{i} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}$ inside 17) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla f_{i}-f_{i} \nabla \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} & =\sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\nabla f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}-\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \nabla \log \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\nabla f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}-\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \nabla \log \sqrt{M_{i}}\right)-\frac{f_{i} \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}{2 k_{B} T}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \\
& =\sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right)-\frac{f_{i} \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}{2 k_{B} T}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other term $\sqrt{M_{i}} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime}-f_{j}^{\prime} \nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}$ is treated in a similar way. This shows that 18 and 17 are equivalent formulations.

We will now decompose the operator $L=\left(L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{N}\right)$ as $L=L^{m}+L^{b}$, where $L^{m}$ and $L^{b}$ respectively describe collisions between particles of the same species and of different species. More precisely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{m}(f) & :=\left(L_{11}\left(f_{1}, f_{1}\right), \ldots, L_{N N}\left(f_{N}, f_{N}\right)\right), \\
L^{b}(f) & :=\left(\sum_{j \neq 1} L_{1 j}\left(f_{1}, f_{j}\right), \ldots, \sum_{j \neq N} L_{N j}\left(f_{N}, f_{j}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 6. Both operators $L^{m}$ and $L^{b}$ are negative semidefinite. Moreover $f \in N(L)$ if and only if

$$
f_{i}=M_{i}^{1 / 2}\left(\beta_{i}^{(0)}+\beta^{(1)} \cdot p+\beta^{(2)} \frac{|p|^{2}}{2 m_{i}}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

for some p-independent real coefficients $\beta_{i}^{(0)}, i=1, \ldots, N, \beta^{(1)}$ and $\beta^{(2)}$, and $f \in N\left(L^{m}\right)$ if and only if:

$$
f_{i}=M_{i}^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{i}^{(0)}+\alpha_{i}^{(1)} \cdot p+\alpha_{i}^{(2)}|p|^{2}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

for some $p$-independent real coefficients $\alpha_{i}^{(0)}, \alpha_{i}^{(1)}, \alpha_{i}^{(2)}, i=1, \ldots, N$.
Proof. A change of variable $p \leftrightarrow p^{\prime}$ allows to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f, L^{m} f\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}:= & \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(f_{i}, L_{i i}\left(f_{i}, f_{i}\right)\right)_{L_{p}^{2}} \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{i}^{\prime} A^{(i i)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{i}}\right]\left(\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right)-\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{i}^{\prime}}}\right)\right) \\
& \cdot\left(\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right)-\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{i}^{\prime}}}\right)\right) d p d p^{\prime} \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the same change of variable, for each $i \neq j$ one can show that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(f_{i}, L_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}+\left(f_{j}, L_{j i}\left(f_{j}, f_{i}\right)\right)_{L_{p}^{2}} \\
=-\iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i i)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right)-\nabla\left(\frac{f_{j}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}\right)\right) \\
\quad\left(\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right)-\nabla\left(\frac{f_{j}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}\right)\right) d p d p^{\prime} \leq 0, \tag{19}
\end{array}
$$

which yields $\left(f, L^{b} f\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}:=\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{N}\left(f_{i}, L_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)\right)_{L_{p}^{2}} \leq 0$ for all $f \in D(L)$.
It is clear that $\left(f, L^{m} f\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}=0$ if and only if

$$
\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right)-\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{i}^{\prime}}}\right)=\mu_{i j}\left[p, p^{\prime}\right]\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{i}}\right) .
$$

By employing the same method that was used to solve we find that $f \in$ $N\left(L^{m}\right)$ if and only if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=M_{i}^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{i}^{(0)}+\alpha_{i}^{(1)} \cdot p+\alpha_{i}^{(2)}|p|^{2}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $p$-independent real coefficients $\alpha_{i}^{(0)}, \alpha_{i}^{(1)}, \alpha_{i}^{(2)}, i=1, \ldots, N$. Eq. 20, is a complete characterization of $N\left(L^{m}\right)$. A similar strategy yields the description of the kernel of $L: f \in N(L)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=M_{i}^{1 / 2}\left(\beta_{i}^{(0)}+\beta^{(1)} \cdot p+\beta^{(2)} \frac{|p|^{2}}{2 m_{i}}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $p$-independent real coefficients $\beta_{i}^{(0)}, i=1, \ldots, N, \beta^{(1)}$ and $\beta^{(2)}$. Eq. 21) is a complete characterization of $N(L)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1 which states that the multi-species linearized Landau collision operator $L=\left(L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{N}\right)$ defined as in 17 has a spectral gap in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

The starting point in the proof is the already known spectral gap for the mono-species operator proven in several works, including [24, 29] and summarized in the next lemma.

Lemma 1. There exists an explicitly computable constant $\lambda_{m}>0$ such that:

$$
-\left(f, L^{m} f\right) \geq \lambda_{m}\left\|f-\Pi^{m} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \quad f \in D\left(L^{m}\right)
$$

where $\Pi^{m}$ denotes the projection operator onto the subspace $N\left(L^{m}\right)$.
We will now follow an approach similar to the one formulated in [12]. We first write

$$
f=f^{\|}+f^{\perp}
$$

with

$$
f^{\|}:=\Pi^{m} f, \quad f^{\perp}:=\left(\mathbb{I}-\Pi^{m}\right) f .
$$

From 19 it follows:

$$
-\left(f, L^{b} f\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}\left(w_{p}+w_{o}\right) \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(w_{p}+w_{o}\right) d p d p^{\prime}
$$

with

$$
w_{p}:=\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\|}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right)-\nabla\left(\frac{\left(f_{j}^{\|}\right)^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}\right), \quad w_{o}:=\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right)-\nabla\left(\frac{\left(f_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}\right)
$$

Since $A^{(i j)}$ is symmetric and positive definite, Young's inequality yields
$\frac{1}{2} w_{p} \cdot A^{(i j)} w_{o}+\frac{1}{2} w_{o} \cdot A^{(i j)} w_{p}=w_{p} \cdot A^{(i j)} w_{o} \geq-\frac{1}{4} w_{p} \cdot A^{(i j)} w_{p}-w_{o} \cdot A^{(i j)} w_{o}$,
and

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(f, L^{b} f\right)_{L_{p}^{2}} \geq & \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} w_{p} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] w_{p} d p d p^{\prime} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} w_{o} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] w_{o} d p d p^{\prime} \\
= & -\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\|}, L^{b} f^{\|}\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} w_{o} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] w_{o} d p d p^{\prime} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us estimate the second term on the right-hand side of 22). Applying Young's inequality one more time we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} w_{o} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] w_{o} d p d p^{\prime} \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} \nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right) \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} \nabla\left(\frac{\left(f_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}\right) \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \nabla\left(\frac{\left(f_{j}^{\perp}\right)^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
& \quad=2 \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} \nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right) \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right) d p d p^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right)=\frac{\nabla f_{i}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}-\frac{f_{i}^{\perp}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \nabla \log \sqrt{M_{i}}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} w_{o} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] w_{o} d p d p^{\prime} \\
& \quad \leq 4 \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{j}^{\prime} \nabla f_{i}^{\perp} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \nabla f_{i}^{\perp} d p d p^{\prime} \\
& \quad+4 \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{j}^{\prime}\left(f_{i}^{\perp}\right)^{2} \nabla \log \sqrt{M_{i}} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \nabla \log \sqrt{M_{i}} d p d p^{\prime} \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int \nabla f_{i}^{\perp} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{(i)} \nabla f_{i}^{\perp} d p+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int\left(f_{i}^{\perp}\right)^{2} \mathcal{B}^{(i)} d p \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

with
$\mathcal{A}^{(i)}:=4 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] d p^{\prime}, \quad \mathcal{B}^{(i)}:=\nabla \log \sqrt{M_{i}} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{(i)} \nabla \log \sqrt{M_{i}}$.

From [8, Lemma 2.3] we deduce that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla f_{i}^{\perp} \cdot \mathcal{A}^{(i)} \nabla f_{i}^{\perp} & \leq C\left(\langle p\rangle^{\gamma}\left|P \nabla f_{i}^{\perp}\right|^{2}+\langle p\rangle^{\gamma+2}\left|(I-P) \nabla f_{i}^{\perp}\right|^{2}\right)  \tag{24}\\
\mathcal{B}^{(i)} & \leq C\langle p\rangle^{\gamma+2} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Inequalities 23, 24) and 25) imply:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} w_{o} \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] w_{o} d p d p^{\prime} \leq C_{1}\left\|f^{\perp}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some explicitly computable constant $C_{1}>0$. In summary we have shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(f, L^{b} f\right)_{L_{p}^{2}} \geq-\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\|}, L^{b} f^{\|}\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}-C_{1}\left\|f^{\perp}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now ready to prove the next lemma:

Lemma 2. For each $f \in D(L)$ and $\eta \in(0,1]$ we have

$$
-(f, L f)_{L_{p}^{2}} \geq\left(\lambda_{m}-\eta C_{1}\right)\left\|f^{\perp}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}-\frac{\eta}{2}\left(f^{\|}, L^{b} f^{\|}\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}
$$

Proof. Using the decomposition $L=L^{m}+L^{b}$ we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-(f, L f)_{L_{p}^{2}} & =-\left(f, L^{m} f\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}-\left(f, L^{b} f\right)_{L_{p}^{2}} \\
& \geq-\left(f, L^{m} f\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}-\eta\left(f, L^{b} f\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $\eta \in(0,1]$, since $L^{b}$ is a negative semidefinite operator, as shown in Theorem 6. Finally Lemma 1 and (27) imply

$$
-(f, L f)_{L_{p}^{2}} \geq \lambda_{m}\left\|f^{\perp}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}-\eta\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\|}, L^{b} f^{\|}\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}+C_{1}\left\|f^{\perp}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right)
$$

which finishes the proof.

We focus now our attention on $\left(f^{\|}, L^{b} f^{\|}\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}$. From it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\|}=\left(\Pi^{m} f\right)_{i}=M_{i}^{1 / 2}\left(\alpha_{i}+u_{i} \cdot p+e_{i} \frac{|p|^{2}}{2 m_{i}}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a suitable choice of $\alpha_{i}, u_{i}, e_{i}$. We get:

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\left(f^{\|}, L^{b} f^{\|}\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{N} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}\left(u_{i}-u_{j}+e_{i} \frac{p}{m_{i}}-e_{j} \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \\
\cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(u_{i}-u_{j}+e_{i} \frac{p}{m_{i}}-e_{j} \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) d p d p^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

We first notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) & \cdot \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(e_{i} \frac{p}{m_{i}}-e_{j} \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) d p d p^{\prime} \\
= & \left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) \cdot \frac{e_{i}}{m_{i}} \int M_{i} p\left(\int M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] d p^{\prime}\right) d p \\
& -\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) \cdot \frac{e_{j}}{m_{j}} \int M_{j}^{\prime} p^{\prime}\left(\int M_{i} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] d p\right) d p^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the function $\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \mapsto M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(e_{i} \frac{p}{m_{i}}-e_{j} \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is odd, it follows that:

$$
\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) \cdot \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(e_{i} \frac{p}{m_{i}}-e_{j} \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) d p d p^{\prime}=0
$$

Hence we are left with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(f^{\|}, L^{b} f^{\|}\right)_{L_{p}^{2}}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N}\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) \cdot \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] d p d p^{\prime}\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{\left(e_{i}-e_{j}\right)^{2}}{4} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}+\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}+\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) d p d p^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

after rewriting $\left(e_{i} \frac{p}{m_{i}}-e_{j} \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)$ as

$$
\left(e_{i} \frac{p}{m_{i}}-e_{j} \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)=\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}+\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \frac{\left(e_{i}-e_{j}\right)}{2}+\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \frac{\left(e_{i}+e_{j}\right)}{2} .
$$

It is easy to see that, for $i, j=1, \ldots, N$, the matrix

$$
\mathscr{A}^{(i j)} \equiv \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] d p d p^{\prime}
$$

is positive definite, while

$$
\mathscr{B}^{(i j)} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \iint M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}+\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \cdot A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}+\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) d p d p^{\prime}>0
$$

We conclude:

Lemma 3. There exists an explicitly computable constant $C_{2}>0$ such that:

$$
-\left(f^{\|}, L^{b} f^{\|}\right)_{L_{p}^{2}} \geq C_{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N}\left(\left|u_{i}-u_{j}\right|^{2}+\left(e_{i}-e_{j}\right)^{2}\right), \quad f \in D(L)
$$

where the $p$-independent quantities $u_{i}, e_{i}$ are related to $f$ through 28 .
The last step in the proof of the spectral gap for $L$ is the result shown in the next lemma.

Lemma 4. There exists an explicitly computable constant $C_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{N}\left(\left|u_{i}-u_{j}\right|^{2}+\left(e_{i}-e_{j}\right)^{2}\right) \geq C_{3}\left(\left\|f-\Pi^{L} f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}-2\left\|f^{\perp}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right), \quad f \in D(L) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $p$-independent quantities $u_{i}, e_{i}$ are related to $f$ through 28.
For the proof of Lemma 4 we refer directly to the one of Lemma 15 in [12]. In such lemma the authors prove 29 for $f$ solution to a multi-species linearized Boltzmann operator. The proof only relies on the structure of $N(L)$ and $N\left(L^{m}\right)$, which is the same in both multi-species Boltzmann system studied in 12 and the Landau systems considered in this manuscript.

Summarizing, Lemmas 2, 3 and 4 imply that for every $f \in D(L)$

$$
-(f, L f)_{L_{p}^{2}} \geq \frac{\eta}{2} C_{2} C_{3}\left\|f-\Pi^{L} f\right\|_{D(L)}^{2}+\left(\lambda_{m}-\eta\left(C_{1}+C_{2} C_{3}\right)\right)\left\|f^{\perp}\right\|_{D(L)}^{2}
$$

Choosing $\eta=\min \left\{1, \lambda_{m} /\left(C_{1}+C_{2} C_{3}\right)\right\}$ we obtain the desired spectral gap with

$$
\lambda=\frac{C_{2} C_{3}}{2} \min \left\{1, \frac{\lambda_{m}}{C_{1}+C_{2} C_{3}}\right\} .
$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4. Exponential decay to global equilibrium

This section is devoted to Theorem 2 The proof relies on the spectral gap of Theorem 1 and on the hypocoercivity method by Mouhot and Neumann
[28]. We have to show that there exists a suitable decomposition of $L$ for which conditions $(i)-(i v)$ in Theorem 3 hold.

We preliminarily observe that $L$ is bounded w.r.t. the $\mathcal{H}$ norm, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(f, L g)_{L_{p}^{2}}\right| \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad f, g \in D(L) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (30) can be showed by arguing as in the proof of (26).
Using formulation (18), the operator $L$ can be rewritten as $L=K-\Lambda$ with:

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{i}(f) & :=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \operatorname{div}_{p} \int M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \nabla\left(\frac{f_{j}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}\right) d p^{\prime},  \tag{31}\\
\Lambda_{i}(f) & :=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \operatorname{div}_{p} \int M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \nabla\left(\frac{f_{i}}{\sqrt{M_{i}}}\right) d p^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

For the operator $\Lambda$ we will use the following estimates proven by Guo in [24: for each $f \in D(L)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq(f, \Lambda f)_{L_{p}^{2}} \leq c_{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2},  \tag{32}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f, D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} \Lambda f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \geq c_{3}\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} \nabla f\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}-c_{4}\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Concerning $K$, we need the following lemma which proves at the same time (iii) and (iii') of Theorem 3

Lemma 5. For every $\delta>0$ there exists a constant $C(\delta)>0$ such that for $|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq k$ with $k \geq 4$ and $\beta \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f, D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} K f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq \delta\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}+C(\delta)\|f\|_{H^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first observe that

$$
\nabla M_{i}=-\frac{p}{m_{i} k_{B} T} M_{i} .
$$

Then $K$ can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{i, j}(f) & =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \int \operatorname{div}_{p}\left(M_{i} A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\right) M_{j}^{\prime} \nabla\left(\frac{f_{j}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}\right) d p^{\prime} \\
& =\int \omega^{(i j)} \cdot \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla\left(\frac{f_{j}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}}}\right) d p^{\prime} \\
& =\int \omega^{(i j)} \cdot\left(\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}+f_{j}^{\prime} \frac{p^{\prime}}{2 m_{j} k_{B} T}\right) d p^{\prime} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

with the kernel $\omega^{(i j)}$ defined as:

$$
\omega^{(i j)}:=\sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}}\left(A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \frac{p}{m_{i} k_{B} T}+\frac{2 C^{(i j)}}{m_{i}}\left|\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right|^{\gamma}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)\right) .
$$

It is useful to estimate $\omega^{(i j)}$ and its Jacobian. Since

$$
\left|A^{(i j)}[z] v\right| \leq C^{(i j)}|z|^{\gamma+2}|v|
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega^{(i j)}\right| \leq \sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{|p|}{m_{i} k_{B} T}+\frac{2 C^{(i j)}}{m_{i}}\right)\left(\left|\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right|^{\gamma+2}+\left|\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right|^{\gamma+1}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that the magnitude of the derivative of every element of $A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]$ w.r.t. each component of $p$ is bounded by $C\left|\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right|^{\gamma+1}$, and

$$
\nabla \sqrt{M_{i}}=-\sqrt{M_{i}} \frac{p}{2 m_{i} k_{B} T}
$$

for some suitable polynomial $q(|p|)$ we have that the Jacobian of $\omega^{(i j)}$ with respect to $p$ can be estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla_{p} \otimes \omega^{(i j)}\right| \leq \sqrt{M_{i} M_{j}^{\prime}} q(|p|)\left(\left|\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right|^{\gamma}+\left|\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right|^{\gamma+2}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now introduce an arbitrary parameter $\varepsilon>0$ and a cutoff function $\psi_{\varepsilon}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $\psi_{\varepsilon} \in C^{1}([0, \infty)), \psi_{\varepsilon}(x)=1$ for $0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon$, $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x)=0$ for $x \geq 2 \varepsilon,\left|\psi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| \leq C \varepsilon^{-1} \chi_{(0,2 \varepsilon)}$. Moreover let us define $\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=$ $\psi_{\varepsilon}\left(\left|\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right|\right)$.

We write $K=K^{(I)}+K^{(I I)}$, where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i}^{(I)}(f) & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int\left(1-\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)}\right) \omega^{(i j)} \cdot\left(\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}+f_{j}^{\prime} \frac{p^{\prime}}{2 m_{j} k_{B} T}\right) d p^{\prime} \\
K_{i}^{(I I)}(f) & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)} \omega^{(i j)} \cdot\left(\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}+f_{j}^{\prime} \frac{p^{\prime}}{2 m_{j} k_{B} T}\right) d p^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $\omega^{(i j)}$ is smooth in the region $\left\{\left|p / m_{i}-p^{\prime} / m_{j}\right|>2 \varepsilon\right\}$, thus

$$
\left(1+\left|p^{\prime}\right|\right) D_{p}^{2 \beta}\left(\left(1-\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)}\right) \omega^{(i j)}\right) \in L_{p, p^{\prime}}^{\infty}
$$

From Young's inequality and the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{v}^{1} D_{x}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} & \leq C\left(\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}\right) \\
\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} & \leq\|f\|_{H^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f,\right. & \left.D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} K^{(I)} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}  \tag{38}\\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \iiint D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f_{i} \cdot\left(D_{p}^{\beta}\left(\left(1-\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)}\right) \omega^{(i j)}\right)\right)\left(\nabla_{p^{\prime}} D_{x}^{\alpha} f_{j}^{\prime}+D_{x}^{\alpha} f_{j}^{\prime} \frac{p^{\prime}}{2 m_{j} k_{B} T}\right) d p d p^{\prime} d x \\
& =(-1)^{|\beta|} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \iiint D_{x}^{\alpha} f_{i}\left(D_{p}^{2 \beta}\left(\left(1-\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)}\right) \omega^{(i j)}\right)\right)\left(\nabla_{p^{\prime}} D_{x}^{\alpha} f_{j}^{\prime}+D_{x}^{\alpha} f_{j}^{\prime} \frac{p^{\prime}}{2 m_{j} k_{B} T}\right) d p d p^{\prime} d x \\
& \leq C(\varepsilon)\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\left(\left\|\nabla_{p} D_{x}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}+\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq \delta\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}+\delta^{-1} C(\varepsilon)\|f\|_{H^{k-1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

We write $\beta=\hat{\beta}+\xi$ with $|\hat{\beta}|=1,|\xi|=k-1$, so that $D_{p}^{\beta}=D_{p}^{\xi} D_{p}^{\hat{\beta}}$. Let us compute the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}^{\beta} K^{(I I)}(f)=D_{p}^{\xi} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int \Theta_{\varepsilon, \hat{\beta}}^{i j}\left[p, p^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left(\nabla f_{j}^{\prime}+f_{j}^{\prime} \frac{p^{\prime}}{2 m_{j} k_{B} T}\right) d p^{\prime} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\Theta_{\varepsilon, \hat{\beta}}^{i j}\left[p, p^{\prime}\right]:=D_{p}^{\hat{\beta}}\left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)} \omega^{(i j)}\right)\left[p, p^{\prime}\right] .
$$

By making the transformation $p^{\prime} / m_{j} \mapsto p / m_{i}-p^{\prime} / m_{j}$ inside the integral in 39)
we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{p}^{\beta} K^{(I I)}(f) & =D_{p}^{\xi} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int \Theta_{\varepsilon, \beta}^{i j}\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right] \cdot\left(\nabla f_{j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right]\right.  \tag{40}\\
& \left.+f_{j}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \frac{1}{2 k_{B} T}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)\right) d p^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us estimate first the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(|p|+\left|p^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\Theta_{\varepsilon, \hat{\beta}}^{i j}\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right]\right|=\left(|p|+\left|p^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|D_{p}^{\hat{\beta}}\left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)} \omega^{(i j)}\right)\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right]\right| \\
& \leq\left(|p|+\left|p^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|D_{p}^{\hat{\beta}}\left(\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)}\right)\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right]\right|\left|\omega^{(i j)}\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right]\right| \\
& \quad+\left(|p|+\left|p^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)}\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right]\right|\left|D_{p}^{\hat{\beta}}\left(\omega^{(i j)}\right)\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right]\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

By using (36), (37) and the properties of the cutoff $\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{(i j)}$ we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(|p|+\left|p^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\Theta_{\varepsilon, \hat{\beta}}^{i j}\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right]\right| \leq C\left(\left|p^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}+\left|p^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma+1}+\left|p^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma+2}\right) \chi_{\left\{\left|p^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon m_{j}\right\}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$. Since the local singularities of $\Theta_{\varepsilon, \hat{\beta}}^{i j}\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right]$ only depend on $p^{\prime}$ (after the change of variable $p^{\prime} / m_{j} \mapsto p / m_{i}-p^{\prime} / m_{j}$ ), the estimate in 41, holds also for the derivatives of $\Theta_{\varepsilon, \hat{\beta}}^{i j}\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right]$ with respect to $p$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(|p|+\left|p^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|D_{p}^{\xi_{0}} \Theta_{\varepsilon, \hat{\beta}}^{i j}\left[p,\left(m_{j} / m_{i}\right) p-p^{\prime}\right]\right| \leq C \phi_{j, \varepsilon}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \quad 0 \leq \xi_{0} \leq \xi  \tag{42}\\
& \phi_{j, \varepsilon}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \equiv\left(\left|p^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma}+\left|p^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma+1}+\left|p^{\prime}\right|^{\gamma+2}\right) \chi_{\left\{\left|p^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 \varepsilon m_{j}\right\}} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, assumption $\gamma \geq-2$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{j, \varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma+3}+\varepsilon^{\gamma+4}+\varepsilon^{\gamma+5}\right) \leq C \varepsilon \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (42, 43) it follows (recall that $K^{(I I)}$ does not depend on $x$ )

$$
\left|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} K^{(I I)}(f)\right| \leq C \sum_{0 \leq \beta^{\prime} \leq \beta} \phi_{j, \varepsilon} *\left|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta^{\prime}} f\right|
$$

As a consequence, thanks to (44),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} K^{(I I)}(f)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} & \leq C\left\|\phi_{j, \varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \sum_{0 \leq \beta^{\prime} \leq \beta}\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta^{\prime}} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \\
& \leq C \varepsilon \sum_{0 \leq \beta^{\prime} \leq \beta}\left\|D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta^{\prime}} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} f, D_{x}^{\alpha} D_{p}^{\beta} K^{(I I)} f\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \leq C \varepsilon\|f\|_{H^{k}\left(\mathbb{T}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}^{2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, from (38), (45) the statement (34) follows. This finishes

Relations (30)-(34) and the spectral gap allow us to apply Theorem 3, which yields (8).

We now show the second part of Theorem 2. The non-linear terms $\Gamma_{i}(f, f)$, defined as

$$
\Gamma_{i}(f, f)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_{i}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} Q_{i j}\left(\sqrt{M_{i}} f_{i}, \sqrt{M_{j}} f_{j}\right):=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \Theta_{i}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{i}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)= & \operatorname{div}_{p}\left(\int A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} f_{j}^{\prime} d p^{\prime} \cdot \nabla f_{i}\right) \\
& -\operatorname{div}_{p}\left(f_{i} \int A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \nabla f_{j}^{\prime} d p^{\prime}\right) \\
& -\int A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}} \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} f_{j}^{\prime} d p^{\prime} \cdot \nabla f_{i} \\
& +f_{i} \int A^{(i j)}\left[\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right] \frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}} \sqrt{M_{j}^{\prime}} \cdot \nabla f_{j}^{\prime} d p^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now recall an estimate by Guo in [24, Thr. 3] which states that the inner product $\left(\Theta_{i}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right), f_{i}\right)_{H_{x, p}^{k}}$ can be bounded by the $H_{x, p}^{k}$ and $H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}$ norms of $f_{i}$ and $f_{j}$; more precisely

$$
\left(\Theta_{i}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right), f_{i}\right)_{H_{x, p}^{k}} \leq C\left(\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}+\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}\right)\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}
$$

Therefore
$\left(\Gamma_{i}(f, f), f_{i}\right)_{H_{x, p}^{k}} \leq C\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}}\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}\right)+\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}}\right)$,
which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Gamma(f, f), f)_{H_{x, p}^{k}}:=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\Gamma_{i}(f, f), f_{i}\right)_{H_{x, p}^{k}} \leq C\|f\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}}\|f\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}^{2} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define now the function $f:=\frac{F-\mathcal{M}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{M}}}$ with $\mathcal{M}(p)$ and $F$ respectively the unique equilibrium state and the unique smooth solution to (1). The function $f=$ $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{N}\right)$ solves

$$
\partial_{t} f_{i}+\frac{p}{m_{i}} \cdot \nabla_{x} f_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{i j}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right)+\Gamma_{i}\left(f_{i}, f_{j}\right) .
$$

Thanks to Theorem 1 and 46 one can deduce

$$
\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t}\|f\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}}^{2} \leq-\lambda\|f\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}^{2}+C\|f\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}}\|f\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}^{2}
$$

The above differential inequality can be solved by simple iteration method: since $\left\|f_{\text {in }}\right\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon$, there exists a positive time $T_{0}$ such that $\|f\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}} \leq 2 \varepsilon$ for all $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$. Hence any solution to

$$
\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t}\|h\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}}^{2}=-\frac{\lambda}{2}\|h\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}^{2}, \quad\left\|h_{\mathrm{in}}\right\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}}=\varepsilon
$$

${ }^{230}$ satisfies $\|f\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}}^{2} \leq\|h\|_{H_{x, p}^{k}}^{2} \leq \varepsilon e^{-\lambda / 2 t}$ for $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$, taking into account that the $H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}$-norm controls the $H_{x, p}^{k}$-norm. At time $T_{0}$ we can restart the same process since $\left\|f\left(\cdot, T_{0}\right)\right\|_{H_{x}^{k} \mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2 ,

## 5. Appendix

Lemma 6. The operator $K: L_{p}^{2} \rightarrow L_{p}^{2}$ defined in (31) is compact.

Proof. We will show that $K$ is the limit, in the operator norm, of a sequence of Hilbert-Schmidt operators. From (35) it follows:
$K_{i}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int k^{(i j)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) f_{j}\left(p^{\prime}\right) d p^{\prime}, \quad k^{(i j)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j} k_{B} T} \cdot \omega^{(i j)}-\operatorname{div}_{p^{\prime}} \omega^{(i j)}$.

The following estimate is a consequence of (36) and (37):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|k^{(i j)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)\right| & \leq C\left(M_{i}(p) M_{j}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1 / 4}\left(\left|\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right|^{\gamma}+\left|\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right|^{\gamma+2}\right) \\
& \leq C W\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right) \\
W(z) & \equiv e^{-\delta|z|^{2}}\left(|z|^{\gamma}+|z|^{\gamma+2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some suitable constant $\delta>0$.
Let $\xi_{n}$ be the characteristic function of the ball $B\left(0, \frac{1}{n}\right)$, and let us define the sequence of operators $K^{(n)}=\left(K_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, K_{N}^{(n)}\right): L_{p}^{2} \rightarrow L_{p}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i}^{(n)}(f) & =\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int k_{n}^{(i j)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) f_{j}\left(p^{\prime}\right) d p^{\prime} \\
k_{n}^{(i j)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) & =k^{(i j)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)\left(1-\xi_{n}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $k_{n}^{(i j)} \in L_{p, p^{\prime}}^{2}$, so $K^{(n)}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular $K^{(n)}$ is compact. Let us now estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K_{i}(f)-K_{i}^{(n)}(f)\right| & \leq \int\left|k^{(i j)}\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)\right| \xi_{n}\left(\frac{p}{m_{i}}-\frac{p^{\prime}}{m_{j}}\right)\left|f_{j}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right| d p^{\prime} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(W \xi_{n}\right) * f_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows:

$$
\frac{\left\|K(f)-K^{(n)}(f)\right\|_{L^{2}}}{\|f\|_{L^{2}}} \leq C\left\|W \xi_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}}=C \int_{\{|z|<1 / n\}} e^{-\delta|z|^{2}}\left(|z|^{\gamma}+|z|^{\gamma+2}\right) d z \leq \frac{C}{n}
$$

since $\gamma+2 \geq 0$. This means that $K^{(n)} \rightarrow K$ strongly in $\mathscr{L}\left(L_{p}^{2}\right)$, which implies that $K$ is compact. This finishes the proof.
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