

A New Curriculum for Physics Graduate Students at GW



THE GEORGE
WASHINGTON
UNIVERSITY
WASHINGTON DC

H. W. Griebhammer

Physics Graduate Advisor
The George Washington University, DC, USA
hgrie@gwu.edu



- 1 Navigating a Minefield of Expectations
- 2 New GW “Two-by-Four” Graduate Curriculum
- 3 Assessing Students: New Qualifying Exam Format
- 4 Evaluation and Future: Best Intentions – Where are the Hard Facts??



How to overcome 60 years of stagnation
in teaching PhD students?

No answers; only what seems to work for GW.



1. Navigating a Minefield of Expectations

cf. Joint APS/AAPT Task Force 2006
"Which Way Forward?" Workshop 2008

Great ideas



No time, money, resources

US Physics is the best



US Physics has missed the boat

1. Navigating a Minefield of Expectations

cf. Joint APS/AAPT Task Force 2006
"Which Way Forward?" Workshop 2008

Great ideas



No time, money, resources

US Physics is the best



US Physics has missed the boat

Mold Future Professors



Educate Glorified Engineers

Formal Knowledge



Concepts & Skill-Sets

Gegenbauer polynomials, Fresnel's rhombus,
renormalisation, What I Do Right Now, Fortran

abstraction, "real-world" problem solving, teamwork,
present/communicate, management, accounting

1. Navigating a Minefield of Expectations

cf. Joint APS/AAPT Task Force 2006
"Which Way Forward?" Workshop 2008

Great ideas



No time, money, resources

US Physics is the best



US Physics has missed the boat

Mold Future Professors



Educate Glorified Engineers

Formal Knowledge



Concepts & Skill-Sets

Gegenbauer polynomials, Fresnel's rhombus,
renormalisation, What I Do Right Now, Fortran

abstraction, "real-world" problem solving, teamwork,
present/communicate, management, accounting

Rugged individualism



One-size-fits-all

Sneer at PER



Adore PER

Traditional lectures



Fashionable formats

Adhere to Canon & Exams



Hip topics & free-for-all

Compulsory core



Need-to-know learning

1. Navigating a Minefield of Expectations

Great ideas	↔	No time, money, resources
US Physics is the best	↔	US Physics has missed the boat
Mold Future Professors	↔	Educate Glorified Engineers
Formal Knowledge Gegenbauer polynomials, Fresnel's rhombus, renormalisation, What I Do Right Now, Fortran	↔	Concepts & Skill-Sets abstraction, "real-world" problem solving, teamwork, present/communicate, management, accounting
Rugged individualism	↔	One-size-fits-all
Sneer at PER	↔	Adore PER
Traditional lectures	↔	Fashionable formats
Adhere to Canon & Exams	↔	Hip topics & free-for-all
Compulsory core	↔	Need-to-know learning

my score

What Made Us Think:

- Frequent student complaints (overwhelmed, no structure seen, ...).
- Imbalance duration ↔ material covered; temporal ↔ logical ordering; initiation-rites.
- **Some courses** offered not/not regularly/only in combination with UGrad/by part-time lecturers.

Goal: *Emphasise Shift: Formal Knowledge* ⇒ *Concepts & Skill-Sets*

- **Strong conviction: Physicists are All-Rounders.** ⇒ Proficiency in all disciplines of Modern Physics.
- **Better & faster prepare for and immerse into research.**

2. New GW “Two-by-Four” Graduate Curriculum

Faculty & student discussions 2006-08
Introduction Autumn 2008

June	Informal Pre-test Math Skills; support remedial self-study.			
Sem. 1	Theoretical Mechanics (4)	Computational Physics I (1)	Mathematical Methods (4)	Colloquium
Sem. 2	Quantum Mechanics I (4)	Computational Physics II (1)	Electrodynamics & Classical Fields (4)	Comm. Phys. & Colloquium
Sem. 3	Quantum Mechanics II (4)	Computational Physics III (1)	Statistical Physics (4)	Colloquium
Sem. 4	Qualifying Exam Prep. Course (3)		Astro I or Bio I or Nuclear&Particle I (3)	Comm. Phys. (3) & Colloquium
May: Qualifying Exam; within 1 year: Proposal Exam; mentoring & annual progress reports				

New Format:

- **Increase from 150 min. to 200 min./week in core-course:** More depth, cautious additions.
- **Decrease number of parallel core-courses from 3 to 2:** Less “parallel-processing”, better focus.
- **Re-distribute teaching resources:** Less courses \implies free resources for Advanced Astro/Bio/Nuclear

New Contents:

Avoid “One-Book-Courses”: loose script, lesson-by-lesson Refs. \implies time for discussion

- **Emphasise synergies & logical ordering:** e.g. 1st Sem.: Mechanics and Math. Meth. set foundation.
- **Core-curriculum as bridge to research:** Integrate recent developments, dept. research (EDyn, QM II, Stat.).
- **Foster “research skills”:** Abstraction & reduction to essence, independence, team-work, communication.

Implementation Examples:

- **Computational Physics** Integrated with core: 6 weeks intro; then: projects from core courses (reports).
- **Electrodynamics** Jackson as rite-of-passage \implies natural stepping-stone: rel. fields, condensed matter.
- New **Math. Methods**; (re-)launch **Astro/Bio/Nuclear**; eliminate **Graduate Lab** (immerse in groups instead).

3. Assessing Students: New Qualifying Exam Format

- **Old Format:**

Four 3-hour sections, 2 per day: “test of stamina” instead of milestone on readiness for research.

No qualitative difference to course final exams; strong correlation with Finals grades.

⇒ Relatively large administrative effort, given predictable outcome.

**Criterion: Basis of informed decision on potential for success in research at graduate level:
Commitment; problem-solving skills; physical intuition; transfer; grasp of synergies.**

Students to perceive test as fair.

- **New Format:** 2 written parts, 4 hours each, 2 days, usually at end of Sem. 4.

Problems from any of the 4 core-subjects, plus problems which integrate different fields.

Preparation Course: emphasises integrated problems, review.

- **PhD Proposal Exam** ≤ 1 year after Qualifying: ≲ 20 pages like grant proposal plus oral presentation.

Annual informal progress reports to committee, continuous mentoring.

4. Evaluation and Future: Best Intentions – Where are the Hard Facts??

Monitoring and Assessing Success

- Very difficult: PER on Graduate Education scarce; small-number game. Scattered information.

Need comprehensive review of contents/skills & agreed standards, quantifiable tests.

End the Era of Anecdotal Evidence!

⇒ Ideas?

Our Criteria: no bean counting

Time to PhD; reduced attrition; quicker placement in research groups, sooner productive.

Feedback from students, lecturers, PhD advisors, mentors.

Is being aware of issues already a measure of success?

Work In Progress: Continue with careful, diligent change.

- Clear, written rules and guidelines; student contract.
- Increase synergies, emphasis on concepts & meta-cognitive skills.
- Move towards more interactive settings, away from “one” book.
- Re-design advanced courses: Astro & Bio & Nuclear Physics I+II.



5. Old GW "Standard" Graduate Curriculum

cf. Joint APS/AAPT Task Force 2006
 "Which Way Forward?" Workshop 2008

Sem. 1	Theoretical Mechanics (3)	Electromagnetic Theory I (3)	Theoretical Methods (3)	Comm. Phys. & Colloquium
Sem. 2	Quantum Mechanics I (3)	Electromagnetic Theory II (3)	Computational Physics (3)	Comm. Phys. & Colloquium
Sem. 3	Quantum Mechanics II (3)	Statistical Physics (3)	Graduate Lab (3)	Comm. Phys. & Colloquium
Sem. 4	Qualifying Exam Preparation Course (3)	Quantum Field Th. I or Nonlin. Systems I (3)		Comm. Phys. & Colloquium (3)

6. Parameters



Physics at GW:

- GW aspiration to close up to tier-1 private urban research universities.
- Physics: small department with concentrated, competitive research.
- 12 faculty in 3 fields (Nuclear, Bio, Astro) to cover Intro, Major, Grad lectures.
- ≈ 5 new grad. students p.a.; during courses, most in GTA positions; ≈ 30 total; $\gtrsim 70\%$ non-US.
- Very diverse background, skills.
- Median to PhD: 5-6 years; attrition: $\approx 30\%$.
- Mentoring system in place. “Department Family”.

Positive Example: Good experiences with “German” curricula.